



2026:UHC:50

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR VERMA

02nd JANUARY, 2026

SECOND ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 42 of 2025

Sushil Kumar Ghod

.....Applicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand

.....Respondent

Counsel for the Applicant : Mr. Gaurav Panwar, Advocate
holding brief of Ms. Prabha
Naithani, Advocate.

Counsel for the Respondent : Mr. Pratiroop Pandey, Assistant
Government Advocate.

Hon'ble Alok Kumar Verma,J.

This Application has been filed by the applicant -
Sushil Kumar Ghod for anticipatory bail in Case Crime
No.20 of 2025, registered at Police Station Rajpur, District
Dehradun under Sections 120B, 420, 467, 468 and Section
471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

2. The First Anticipatory Bail Application (No.196 of
2025) was dismissed on 18.07.2025 for want of
prosecution.

3. Heard Mr. Gaurav Panwar, learned counsel for the
applicant and Mr. Pratiroop Pandey, learned Assistant
Government Advocate for the respondent.

4. Mr. Gaurav Panwar, Advocate, submitted that due
to miscommunication, the counsel for the applicant could
not appear on 18.07.2025.

5. According to the First Information Report, the



2026:UHC:50

applicant executed a forged registered sale-deed in favor of the co-accused Surya Prakash Soni on 23.03.2024.

6. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submitted that the owner of the property-in-question was late Arvind Kumar Ghod and the present applicant is his son. Applicant was the sole owner of the property in-question. Applicant has no criminal antecedents. He is a permanent resident of District Haridwar, therefore, there is no possibility of his absconding, and, he was granted interim bail on 08.10.2025 and the conditions of the interim bail have not been violated by him.

7. Mr. Pratiroop Pandey, Assistant Government Advocate, has opposed the anticipatory bail application orally.

8. Personal liberty under Article 21 of the Constitution of India is very precious fundamental right and it should be curtailed only when it becomes imperative according to the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case.

9. Having heard the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present Anticipatory Bail Application is allowed and the order dated 08.10.2025, granting interim bail to the applicant, is made absolute. It is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant Sushil Kumar Ghod, he



2026:UHC:50

shall be released on anticipatory bail on executing a personal bond of Rs. 30,000/- and two reliable sureties, each of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) Applicant shall cooperate with the Investigating Agency and he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii) If the charge-sheet is filed, the applicant shall attend the trial court regularly and he shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment;
- (iii) Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person, acquainted with the facts of this case.
- (iv) Applicant shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the trial court.

10. It is made clear that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon him, the prosecution agency will be free to move the Court for cancellation of the anticipatory bail.

ALOK KUMAR VERMA, J.

Dt: 02.01.2026
Pant