SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPMS/2665/2019 Ram Kumar Saini --Petitioner **Versus** The Regional Transport Authority Uttarakhand and others --Respondents **Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.** Mr. Amar Murti Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Ghanshyam Joshi, Additional CSC for the State. 2. As per postal tracking report, which is placed on record, item was delivered to respondent No. 3. Petitioner is aggrieved by decision taken by Regional Transport Authority, Dehradun in its meeting held on 05.03.2019. It is contended that respondent Nos. 2 and 3 were given permit for five different routes on a single application. 3. Learned State Counsel, however, submits that Section 89 and 90 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 provide remedy of Appeal and Revision respectively; but, petitioner instead of availing statutory remedy, has approached this Court.

			4. Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that remedy of revision is available though remedy of appeal is not available to him. 5. Since statutory remedy is available to petitioner, therefore, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to petitioner to file revision. If revision is filed within two weeks, same shall be decided, as per law, within six months thereafter. 6. Petitioner shall be at liberty to claim benefit of Section 14 of Limitation Act. **(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.)** 12.08.2026 Mahinder