

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS UKHC010128152026  2026:UHC:6297
			<u>UKHC010128152026</u> <u>C528/1586/2026</u> Simranjeet Singh Saluja & Ors --Applicants Versus State of Uttarakhand & another --Respondents <u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u> Mr. Aman Baluni, learned counsel for the applicants. 2. Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, learned AGA, for the State of Uttarakhand/1. 3. Mr. Subhash Joshi, learned counsel for the respondent no.2. 4. The instant petition has been moved by the applicants – Simranjeet Singh Saluja S/o Pritam Singh Saluja, Pritam Singh Saluja S/o Santok Singh and Kamlesh Saluja W/o Pritam Singh Saluja, for quashing of the entire proceedings of the Criminal Case No.247 of 2024, “State Vs. Simranjeet Singh Saluja and others” arising out of FIR dated 13.12.2023, bearing Case Crime No.400 of 2023, P.S. Sitarganj, District Udham Singh Nagar wherein, the Trial Court summoned all the applicants to face the trial for the offences punishable under Sections 498A of IPC read with Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, pending in the court of Judicial Magistrate, Sitarganj, District Udham Singh Nagar. 5. The applicant no.1 and Respondent No.2 are present in Court. Applicant Nos.2 and 3 join the proceedings through VC, who are identified by their respective counsels. 6. The instant petition has been filed along with the compounding application (IA No.1/2026) with the affidavit of all the applicants and the respondent informant. 7. The applicant no.1 married with the respondent

		no.2 in the year 2017, and from this marriage one female child was born who is at present about six years old and now living with the respondent/ wife. 8. In the compounding application it is contended that both the parties have now settled their matrimonial dispute to get permanent separation by way of mutual consent and towards the permanent alimony, the applicant no.1 is agreed to pay a sum of Rs.22.50 lacs, out of which, Rs.11.25 lacs has already been paid to the respondent/wife through demand draft. It is further contended that they both already file the divorce petition to seek divorce by way of mutual consent, wherein, first motion is already completed and for second motion tomorrow is the date fixed. It is further agreed that remaining 50% of the amount towards the permanent alimony, the applicant no.1 shall pay on the final disposal of the divorce suit. 9. Applicant no.1, who is present in Court is not asking any visitation rights to meet his daughter though the respondent/complainant have no reservation on this and submits that since applicant no.1 is not interested to get any visitation rights to meet his daughter, she has no objection on this, however, the applicant nos.2 and 3, who are grandparents still want visitation rights to meet their granddaughter. This is for the complainant/respondent to give visitation rights to the grandparents or not, however, if they want to meet granddaughter in future she will facilitate her daughter to meet grandparents. 10. On the other side, Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, learned AGA, submits that now the parties have settled their dispute, therefore, there is no useful purpose to continue with the proceedings. 11. After hearing the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, and taking into consideration the averment as made in the compounding application and the fact that the parties have now settled their matrimonial dispute to get divorce by way of mutual consent and the amount towards permanent alimony is also determined and 50% of the amount has already been paid and tomorrow is the date fixed for the second motion of the divorce petition, this Court is of the view that there is no useful purpose to continue with the proceedings, therefore this Court is of the view that the
--	--	--

		compounding application deserves to be allowed. 12. Accordingly, the instant C528 application as well as the compounding application are allowed, and the entire proceeding of Criminal Case No.247 of 2024, "State Vs. Simranjeet Singh Saluja and others" arising out of FIR dated 13.12.2023, bearing Case Crime No.400 of 2023, pending in the court of Judicial Magistrate, Sitarganj, District Udham Singh Nagar, is hereby quashed. (Rakesh Thapliyal, J.) 23.07.2026 Nitesh/
--	--	--

--	--	--	--