



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPCRL/1379/2026</u> Mulki Raj And Ors --Petitioners Versus State Of Uttarakhand and Others --Respondents <u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u> Mr. Bhuwan Bhatt, learned counsel for the petitioners. Mr. Pradeep Lohani, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. Mr. Parth Tamta, learned counsel for respondent no. 2. 2. By means of the present Criminal Writ Petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the F.I.R. dated 02.06.2025, registered as FIR No. 184 of 2025, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Bhagwanpur, District Haridwar, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom. The petitioners have also prayed that they may not be harassed in connection with the aforesaid case. 3. It is submitted that the entire sale consideration paid by respondent no. 3/complainant has been refunded by the petitioners, and consequently, the present Compounding Application has been filed. 4. A Compounding Application, jointly signed by learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for respondent no. 3 and duly supported by the affidavits of the petitioners as well as respondent no. 3, has been filed before this Court. 5. Learned counsel for the parties submit that all disputes between the parties have been amicably resolved. It is submitted that respondent no. 3 has received the entire settled amount and has no grievance whatsoever against the petitioners. 6. Today, the petitioners and respondent no. 3



			are present before this Court through video conferencing and have been duly identified by their respective learned counsel. 7. Respondent no. 3 has categorically stated that he has received the entire settled amount of ₹14,00,000/- and has no objection if the impugned F.I.R. and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed. 8. This Court has interacted with respondent no. 3 and is satisfied that the compromise has been entered into voluntarily, without any coercion, undue influence or pressure, and out of his own free will. 9. Learned State Counsel submits that since the dispute is essentially personal in nature and the parties have amicably settled the matter, the State has no objection if the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the compromise. 10. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the parties have amicably settled their disputes. Respondent no. 3 has received the entire settled amount and does not wish to pursue the criminal proceedings any further. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, the possibility of conviction appears to be remote and bleak. Continuation of the criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose and would amount to an abuse of the process of the Court. 11. Having regard to the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Gian Singh v. State of Punjab</i>, (2012) 10 SCC 303, and considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that the ends of justice would be served by quashing the impugned F.I.R. and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom. 12. Accordingly, the Criminal Writ Petition is allowed. The F.I.R. dated 02.06.2025, registered as FIR No. 184 of 2025, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Bhagwanpur, District Haridwar, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed.
--	--	--	--



			13. Consequently, the Compounding Application also stands disposed of. 14. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of. (Alok Mahra, J.) 21-07-2026 SHIKSHA SBLA
--	--	--	--