



2026:UHC:3543-DB

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SHRI MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA
AND
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SUBHASH UPADHYAY

08TH MAY, 2026

WRIT PETITION (M/ B) No. 652 OF 2025

M/s Baba Ji Advertising Agency.

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and others.

..Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner. : Mr. D.S. Patni, learned Senior Counsel
assisted by Mr. Dharmendra Barthwal,
learned counsel.

Counsel for respondent nos. 1 & 4. : Mr. B.S. Parihar, learned Additional Chief
Standing Counsel with Mr. S.M.S. Mehta,
learned Brief Holder for the State of
Uttarakhand.

Counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3. : Mr. Vipul Sharma, learned counsel.

Counsel for respondent no. 5. : Mr. Lalit Sharma, learned counsel.

Counsel for respondent no. 6. : Mr. Hitesh Chandra Sanwal, learned
counsel holding brief of Mr. Sagar
Kothari, learned counsel.

ORDER : (per Shri Manoj Kumar Gupta, C.J.)

1. The present Writ Petition has been filed for the
following reliefs :-

“i) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no 2 to forthwith restore of Uni-poles/Hoardings illegally removed by the respondent no 2 to its original as they had been installed by the petitioner as per the guidelines laid down by Nagar Nigam, Rudarpur.

ii) issue a writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the tender awarded in the month of December, 2024 by respondent no. 2 in favour of



respondent no. 6, after summoning the same from respondent no. 2, only to the extent of the grant of advertising rights to respondent no. 6 within the Kalyanpur area (as awarded by respondent no. 5 in favour of the petitioner) which lies within the jurisdiction of respondent no. 5 hereto.

iii) issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay appropriate compensation for the loss incurred by the petitioner on the illegal removal of Uni-poles /Hoardings and also to the goodwill of the petitioner, due to the illegal act committed by the respondent no 3; Or Alternatively, to direct the respondent no. 5 to grant further extension of such time as this Hon'ble Court deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case for compensating the loss during the period which the petitioner's advertising had illegally been destroyed by respondent no. 2."

2. Mr. D.S. Patni, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the Writ Petition, with liberty to the petitioner to make representation, for return of its uni-poles/ hoardings, before the respondent-Nagar Nigam and SIDCUL.

3. Mr. Vipul Sharma, learned counsel appearing for the SIDCUL and Mr. Lalit Sharma, learned counsel appearing for the Nagar Nigam, have no objection to the same.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of, as withdrawn, with liberty to the petitioner to make representation in terms of the prayer made. In the event any such representation is made, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law.



2026:UHC:3543-DB

5. All pending applications stand disposed of accordingly.

MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA, C.J.

SUBHASH UPADHYAY, J.

Dt: 08th May, 2026
Rahul

RAHUL
PRAJAPATI

Digitally signed by RAHUL KUMAR GUPTA, C.J.
DN: cn=RAHUL KUMAR GUPTA, c=IN, o=UHC, ou=UHC, email=rahul.kg@uhc.gov.in
Date: 2026.05.08 14:45:45 +05'30'