SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPMS/1890/2026 **WPMS/1891/2026** **WPMS/1892/2026** **WPMS/1894/2026** **WPMS/1895/2026** **WPMS/1897/2026** **WPMS/1898/2026** **WPMS/1899/2026** **WPMS/1900/2026** **WPMS/1901/2026** **WPMS/1893/2026** **Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.** Mr. Vijay Bhatt, Senior Advocate, assisted by Ms. Gurbani Singh, Ms. Sukhwani Singh and Mr. Raunak Singh Kumar, Advocates for the petitioners. 2. Mr. Yogesh Pandey, Deputy Advocate General for the State of Uttarakhand/ respondent nos. 1 & 2. 3. Mr. Rahul Consul, Advocate for respondent no. 3. 4. Mr. Shobhit Joshi, Advocate, holding brief of Mr. Ashish Joshi, Advocate for respondent no. 4. 5. Since common questions of law and fact are involved in these petitions, therefore, they are being heard and decided together. However, for the sake of brevity and convenience, facts of WPMS No. 1890 of 2026 alone are being considered and discussed. 6. Petitioner has challenged notice dated 06.06.2026, issued by Mussoorie Dehradun Development Authority under Section 26A(4) of Uttarakhand Urban and Country Planning and Development Act, 1973.

7. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that description of the plot number is wrongly given in the notice. He further submits that petitioner is *bhumidhar* of the land in question, therefore, the allegation that petitioner is in encroachment over the said land is incorrect.

8. Per contra, learned counsel appearing for the Mussoorie Dehradun Development Authority submits that writ petition is premature, as only a notice has been given to the petitioner and petitioner has opportunity to have his say by filing his reply.

9. This Court finds substance in the said contention raised on behalf of the Mussoorie Dehradun Development Authority.

10. Since petitioners have opportunity to submit reply and petitioners have, in fact, submitted such reply, as stated by learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners, the writ petitions are disposed of by permitting the competent authority in Mussoorie Dehradun Development Authority to consider petitioners' reply and pass appropriate order, as per law, within four weeks from the date of presentation of certified copy of this order. For a period of four weeks or till decision is taken by the competent authority status quo as regards the structure raised by petitioners shall be maintained.

(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.)
17.06.2026

Navin

NAVEEN CHANDRA Digitally signed by NAVEEN CHANDRA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=38e2312514ba76a5642bdf4943fb9042f4f7df0606da82a13184e44403d3 1da15, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=18167EEFBC48C7D4CA1A1038198D4875643AF56D61ED095C6ED 9A8ADA4B21CE, cn=NAVEEN CHANDRA
Date: 2026.06.18 10:24:56 +05'30'