



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>BA1/1000/2026</u> Mohd Yunus --Applicant Versus State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent <u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u> - Ms. Swaleha Hussain, learned counsel for the applicant. - Mr. Himanshu Sain, learned AGA for the State. - Mr. Lalit Sharma and Ms. Suraiya Naaz, learned counsel for the applicant. - The instant bail application has been moved by the applicant – “Mohd. Yunus, S/o Abdul Hamid”, in reference to FIR dated 04.03.2026 bearing F.I.R. No. 77 of 2026 registered at Police Station - Nehru Colony, District Dehradun wherein as many as five persons namely Shahbaaz Alam, Yaseen, Khusnuda, Sartaz and Shabnam have been implicated offence punishable under Section 80 (2) BNS, 2023 and now, on culmination of investigation, charge sheet has been filed against six persons, who are husband, mother-in-law, sister-in-law and her husband, including the present applicant, who in fact, is mediator to arrange the marriage in between deceased and co-accused Shahbaaz. - It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated which is evident from the fact that applicant is not named in the FIR and in fact, he was only act as a mediator to arrange the marriage of the deceased and co-accused Shahbaaz. He further submits that applicant is languishing in jail since 04.05.2026 and have no criminal antecedents. - On the other side, learned AGA submits that there are evidence that applicant is pressurising the family of the victim to withdraw the instant FIR and not only this, during investigation, he has offered Rs. 37,00,000/- to the family of deceased to settle the dispute, however, he has not disputed that applicant is not named in the FIR and was a mediator to arrange the marriage. - On the other side, Mr. Lalit Sharma, learned



			counsel for the complainant submits that if the present applicant is enlarged on bail, there is possibility that he may affect the ongoing trial or he may influence the prosecution witnesses and may also give threatening to the family members of the deceased. 8. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration that the charge sheet has already been filed and was simply act as a mediator to arrange the marriage in between deceased and co-accused husband and have no criminal antecedents, this Court is of the view that the applicant deserves bail. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the instant bail application is allowed. 9. Let the applicant – “Mohd. Yunus, S/o Abdul Hamid” be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject the following conditions:- i. The applicant shall join the trial Court proceedings on each and every date without seeking any unnecessary adjournment. ii. The applicant shall surrender his passport, if any, to the trial court within three days after being released on bail and shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court. iii. The applicant shall not visit the locality or surrounding area where the family of the deceased is residing and cannot make an attempt to contact with family members of the deceased including in any manner including via electronic media. iv. It is further made clear that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon him, the prosecution will be free to immediately inform to this Court and to move application for cancellation of bail. (Rakesh Thapliyal, J.) 11.08.2026 SKS
--	--	--	---