

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			C482 No.1059 of 2019 <u>Hon'ble Siddhartha Sah, J.</u> Mr. Kishore Kumar, Advocate for the applicants. Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate for respondent no.1. Mr. Sandeep Sharma, AGA with Mr. Vijay Khanduri, Brief Holder for the State. The present C482 application has been filed seeking quashing of the charge-sheet and Criminal Case No.2792 of 2015, Satveer Singh vs. Rajesh Kumar Shahi and others, under Sections 420, 468 and 120B of IPC, pending before the Hon'ble Court of 5th Additional Civil Judge (S.D.)/Judicial Magistrate, Rudrapur, Udham Singh Nagar. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. Today, the case is listed on Compounding Application (IA No.3217 of 2026). The applicant nos.1 and 2-Rajesh Kumar and Rakesh Kumar and respondent no.1-Satveer Singh are present in Court duly identified by their respective counsel Mr. Kishore Kumar and Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocates for the applicants and respondent no.1, respectively. The Compounding Application is duly supported by an affidavit of the respondent no.1/complainant. The affidavit in support of the compounding application have also been filed on behalf of applicant nos.1 and 2. On a query made by the counsel for respondent no.1/complainant, he states that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and he wants to put a quietous to the matter. The applicant nos.1 and 2 have made

		similar statement before the Court. In the affidavit in support of the Compounding Application, the respondent no.1 has clearly stated that the applicants and he has entered into compromise and it has been mutually consented between both the parties that respondent no.1 does not want to prosecute the applicants in the present case. The disputes between the parties have been settled outside the Court thus continuation of criminal proceeding would serve no fruitful purpose. The respondent no.1 has further stated that he has voluntarily settled the dispute/compromise without any pressure or coercion and he will maintain cordial relations with the applicants in future. Learned AGA states that the offences are non compoundable but in view of the law laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab, 2012 (10) SCC 303, Dimpey Gujral vs. Union Territory, Chandigarh, (2013) 11 SCC 497, B.S. Joshi vs. State of Haryana, (2003) 4 SCC 675, Nikhil Merchant vs. CBI, (2008) 9 SCC 677, the objections so raised by the learned AGA are hereby overruled and the Compounding Application (IA No.3217 of 20260 is hereby allowed <i>qua</i> the applicant nos.1 and 2 only. The offences are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the charge-sheet and the entire proceedings emanating thereof in Criminal Case No.2792 of 2015, Satveer Singh vs. Rajesh Kumar Shahi and others, under Sections 420, 468 and 120B of IPC, pending before the Hon'ble Court of 5th Additional Civil Judge (S.D.)/Judicial Magistrate, Rudrapur, Udham Singh Nagar, are hereby quashed <i>qua</i> applicant nos.1 and 2 only. (Siddhartha Sah, J.) 04.06.2026 Ravi
--	--	---