| SL. No. | Date | Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures | COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | **C528/1144/2026**<br>With<br>IA 2/2026 (For Compounding Application)<br><br>Sampat Sikdar And Anr<br>--Applicant<br>**Versus**<br>State Of Uttarakhand<br>--Respondent<br><br>**Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.**<br>1. Mr. Himanshu Pal, learned counsel for the applicant.<br>2. Mr. Sandeep Sharma and Mrs. Rangoli Purohit, learned A.G.A. for the State.<br>3. Mr. Gaurav Kamal, learned counsel for the complainant.<br>4. The instant petition is moved under section 528 of BNSS, 223 on behalf of the applicants' Sampat Sikdar S/o Late Shri Samar Sikdar and Mukesh Yadav S/o Shri Sunder Lal Yadav along with the compounding application with the affidavit of the respondent nos. 2 to 5 for quashing of the proceeding of Criminal Case No. 2926 of 2025 'State vs. Sampat Sikdar and Anr.' arising out of Case Crime No. 195 of 2024, wherein, all the applicants have been summoned to face the trial for the offences punishable under sections 308, 323, 354(A), 354D, 427, 504 and 506 of IPC, P.S. Raipur, District Dehradun.<br>5. All the applicants are present in court and the respondent nos. 2 to 5 joined the proceeding through V.C.<br>6. It is contended in the compounding application that the incident as alleged was occurred due to the heat of the moment and misconception between the parties and now they settled their dispute and their relations are very cordial and are living peacefully.<br>7. It is argued that since the parties have |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | settled their dispute amicably and both the applicants are serving in Abroad submits that if such a proceeding is permitted to continue then it will affect their future career and even otherwise he submits that since the complainants and the victim does not want to pursue this proceeding, therefore, the continuation of proceeding would be a futile exercise.<br>8. On the other side, learned A.G.A. submits that though some of the penal provisions are not compoundable but since they have settled their dispute and their relations are cordial, therefore, in such view of the matter with the leave of the court the non-compoundable offences can be compounded.<br>9. After hearing the arguments of the learned counsel for the parties and the averment as made in the compounding application, this court is of the view that there is no useful purpose to continue the proceeding. In such view of the matter, the instant criminal miscellaneous application as well as compounding application are allowed and the entire proceeding of Criminal Case No. 2926 of 2025 'State vs. Sampat Sikdar and Anr.' is hereby quashed.<br><br>**(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)**<br>29.07.2026<br>PR |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |