

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPCRL / 943 / 2026</u> <u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u> 1. Mr. D.C.S. Rawat, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, learned AGA for the State. 3. Ms. Menka Tripathi and Mr. Pradeep Hairiya, learned counsel for the complainant. 4. By the instant petition, petitioner - Majid, son of Hamid Hussain, is praying for quashing of the FIR dated 19.05.2026 bearing FIR No. 174 of 2026, Police Station - Bazpur, District - Udham Singh Nagar, wherein petitioner along with Mazid, Danish, Mohd. Kadir, Mukesh, Saurabh and 5-7 unknown persons have been implicated for the offences punishable under Section 109, 191 (2), 191(3), 351 (2), 61 (2) BNS, 2023. 5. It is argued that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated and from the contents of the FIR no cognizable offence is made out, therefore, impugned FIR is liable to be quashed. 6. Apart from this, he submits that actually on 19.05.2026, respondent no. 3 - Vijay Kumar along with some anti social elements met with an accident with motorcycle of one Mukesh and then, he started beating him in which he sustained injuries and then he was referred to hospital from where he was further referred to higher centre and then, he was advised for CT Scan and in counterblast to this incident, impugned FIR has been lodged. In paragraph 16 of the petition, he has disclosed about six criminal cases. 7. On the other side, Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, learned AGA for the State on instructions, apprised to this Court, petitioner is a habitual offender and he is indulged in criminal activities since 2012 and as many as, there are 11 criminal cases against him though he has disclosed only six criminal cases. 8. Ms. Menka Tripathi and Mr. Pradeep Hariya, learned counsel for the complainant submit that there is terror of petitioner in the

			entire locality and he manhandled the persons and there are charge of outraging the modesty of women and not only this, he is threatening to the police officials. They have placed before this Court copy of those 11 FIRs. 9. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that day after tomorrow is Eid, therefore, petitioner may be protected for this festival and he undertakes that petitioner will surrender before the court concerned on or before 08.06.2026. 10. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and gone through with the contents of the impugned FIR and 11 FIRs and the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner will surrender before the court concerned on or before 08.06.2026, the instant petition is dismissed with direction to the petitioner to surrender before the court concerned on or before 08.06.2026. 11. Till then, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner pursuant to the impugned FIR provided he cooperates with the investigation. 12. It is made clear that if the petitioner fails to surrender before the Court concerned on or before 08.06.2026, the I.O. concerned is directed to take him in custody forthwith. (Rakesh Thapliyal, J.) 26.05.2026 SKS
--	--	--	--