



2026:UHC:3804-DB

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHASH UPADHYAY

WRIT PETITION (M/ B) NO. 392 OF 2026

18TH MAY, 2026

Vikram Singh Chauhan Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand & another Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner : Mr. Harshit Sanwal, learned counsel
Counsel for the respondents : Mr. Mohinder Singh Bisht, learned
Brief Holder for the State
: Mr. Suresh Chandra Bhatt, learned
counsel for respondent No. 2

The Court made the following:

JUDGMENT: (per Sri Manoj Kumar Gupta, C.J.)

1) Although several prayers have been made in the writ petition but the essential relief being claimed by the petitioner is for registration of his firm as a contractor by Nagar Palika Parishad for the year 2026-27. In the past the petitioner had approached this Court by filing WPMS No. 518 of 2026, being aggrieved by a notice issued by Nagar Palika Parishad which provided that only persons who were registered prior to year 2023-24 would be entitled to apply for registration. The case of the



2026:UHC:3804-DB

petitioner in the said writ petition was that he had worked with Nagar Palika Parishad in the years 2023-24 and 2024-25 and therefore he should be granted registration. In the said writ petition, the Nagar Palika Parishad took the stand that registration of the petitioner had been done and only his name is to be published in the Gazette as per rules. In view of the said stand of Nagar Palika Parishad, the writ petition was disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make representation with further direction to the respondents to decide the same within 15 days by a speaking and reasoned order. The petitioner on basis of the liberty granted by this Court vide order dated 06.04.2026, in WPMS No. 518 of 2026, made representation for notifying his firm's name in the Gazette. In the meantime, a public notice came to be issued on 16.04.2026 by Executive Officer of Nagar Palika Parishad, Khatima, Udham Singh Nagar, in which again condition was imposed to the effect that only those contractors who were having registration in the year 2025-26 can apply for renewal between 24.04.2026 and 25.04.2026. According to the petitioner, he applied for registration of his firm on 24.04.2026 well within prescribed time. However, the respondents have not



2026:UHC:3804-DB

renewed the registration of the petitioner firm and, hence, the present writ petition.

2) Learned counsel for the Nagar Palika Parishad seeks time to obtain instructions.

3) In our opinion, interest of justice would be subserved in directing the Nagar Palika Parishad to pass a speaking order on the application of the petitioner for renewal of registration of his firm.

4) Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on merits, the writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to pass a speaking order on the application of the petitioner dated 24.04.2026 (Annexure-15) within a period of two weeks from the date of communication of the instant order.

5) Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA, C.J.

SUBHASH UPADHYAY, J.

Dt: 18TH MAY, 2026
Negi

HIMANS
HU NEGI

Digitally signed by HIMANSHU NEGI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND,
ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND,
2.5.4.20=bb3b60774012c1ef1dae20d13aaf11
6e73351da6b87832638690ba790d5757,
postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND,
serialNumber=75BD9D0F87FAA80990FC51A
722A68C552D470EB4FD2F8DDDF7C18D82A
1524A4D, cn=HIMANSHU NEGI
Date: 2026.05.18 17:14:01 +05'30'