

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions or Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>C-528 No. 955 of 2026</u> Chandan Kumar and another Applicants Vs. State of Uttarakhand and others Respondents <u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.</u> Mr. Saurabh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the Applicants. 2. Mr. Pradeep Lohani, learned AGA, for the State of Uttarakhand. 3. Mr. Mani Kumar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 2. 4. The present Application under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed on behalf of the Applicant seeking quashing of the charge-sheet dated 02.01.2026, the cognizance order dated 10.02.2026 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Khatima, District Udham Singh Nagar, and the entire proceedings of Sessions Trial No. 09 of 2026, "State of Uttarakhand vs. Chandan Kumar and Another", pending before the Court of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Khatima, District Udham Singh Nagar, for offences punishable under Sections 3(5), 109(1), 351(2) and 352 of the B.N.S., 2023, and Section 3/25 of the Arms Act, 1959. 5. Along with the present application, a Joint Compounding Application (I.A. No. 01 of 2026, Paper No. 45) has also been filed, bearing the signatures of the parties and duly endorsed by learned counsel for the Applicant, Mr. Saurabh Kumar Pandey, Advocate, and learned counsel for Respondent No. 2, Mr. Mani Kumar, Advocate. The said application is supported by affidavits of the respective parties, wherein it has been averred that the dispute, being personal in nature, has been amicably settled between them. 6. Today, the Applicants as well as Respondent No. 2 are present in person before this Court and have been duly identified by their respective counsel. 7. This Court has interacted with the Applicants as well as Respondent No. 2. During the course of such interaction, the parties have categorically stated that they have amicably resolved their disputes and do not wish to pursue the matter any further. They have further stated that they have no objection in case the Compounding

		Application is allowed and the proceedings are quashed. 8. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the Compounding Application on the ground that some of the offences involved in the present matter are non-compoundable in nature. 9. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court is of the considered opinion that the dispute between the parties is personal in nature and now stands amicably settled between them. In such circumstances, continuation of the criminal proceedings would serve no fruitful purpose and would amount to abuse of the process of law. 10. Accordingly, the Compounding Application deserves to be allowed and the entire proceedings of Sessions Trial No. 09 of 2026, “State of Uttarakhand vs. Chandan Kumar and Another”, pending before the Court of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Khatima, District Udham Singh Nagar, for offences punishable under Sections 3(5), 109(1), 351(2) and 352 of the B.N.S., 2023, and Section 3/25 of the Arms Act, 1959, are liable to be quashed qua the present Applicant. 11. Consequently, the Compounding Application (I.A. No. 01 of 2026) is allowed. The entire proceedings of the aforesaid criminal case are hereby quashed qua the present Applicant only. 12. Accordingly, the present Criminal Miscellaneous Application filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, stands disposed of. (Ashish Naithani, J.) 11.05.2026 Anand/
--	--	---

--	--	--	--