

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S  2026:UHC:3615
			<u>BA1 No. 466 of 2026</u> Manish alias Boler Applicant Vs. State of Uttarakhand Respondent <u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.</u> Mr. Ravi Bisht, learned counsel holding brief of Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the Applicant. 2. Mr. J.P. Kandpal, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. 3. The present Bail Application has been moved by the Applicant—Manish alias Boler, S/o Shri Pradeep Kumar, R/o Rishi Nagar Naj Basti Sunhaira, Roorkee, District Haridwar. The Applicant is in judicial custody in connection with FIR No. 505 of 2025, registered at Police Station Gangnagar, District Haridwar, for offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 419, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC. 4. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State. Perused the record. 5. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has not been named in the FIR and no specific role has been assigned to him in the present case. It is further submitted that the Applicant is not a beneficiary of the alleged transaction and he is neither a witness to any document relating to the disputed land nor connected with any alleged power of attorney. Learned counsel further submits that co-accused Kaushal Kumar Sahay, who allegedly signed as a witness on the relevant document dated 03.01.2026, has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court. It is also submitted that the Applicant is a public representative and presently a Ward Member/Councillor from Ward No. 38, Roorkee,

		and has been falsely implicated due to political rivalry. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is in judicial custody since 27.08.2025. 6. Per contra, learned A.G.A. opposed the bail application and submitted that the Applicant is a habitual offender having criminal antecedents and appears to be an active member of a gang allegedly run by co-accused Praveen Valmiki. It is submitted that forged documents relating to the victim's land were prepared by the gang members in connivance with each other and, therefore, the Applicant does not deserve bail. 7. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the material available on record, this Court finds that the Applicant was not named in the FIR and no specific overt act has been attributed to him at this stage. This Court also takes note of the fact that co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail and the Applicant is in custody since 27.08.2025. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the Applicant has made out a fit case for grant of bail at this stage. 8. Accordingly, the Bail Application is allowed. 9. Let the Applicant—Manish alias Boler be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. 10. It is made clear that the Applicant shall cooperate with the investigation/trial and shall not misuse the liberty of bail. 11. All pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of. (Ashish Naithani, J.) 12.05.2026 Shiksha
--	--	---