



2026:UHC:933

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR VERMA

16TH FEBRUARY, 2026

FIRST BAIL APPLICATION NO.218 of 2026

Sahil Applicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand Respondent

Counsel for the Applicant : Mr. Ankush Singhal, Advocate
holding brief of Mr. Harshpal
Sekhon, Advocate.

Counsel for the Respondent : Mrs. Manisha Rana Singh,
Deputy Advocate General.

Hon'ble Alok Kumar Verma,J.

Applicant – Sahil is in judicial custody for the offence under Section 109(1), Section 3(5), Section 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 3 read with Section 25 (1B)(a) of the Arms Act, 1959 in Case Crime No.134 of 2025, registered at Police Station Khatima, District Udham Singh Nagar.

2. According to the respondent, on 28.04.2025, at 22:49 hrs, the police received an information that five persons on two motorcycles are coming, who had committed robbery on 25.04.2025 and 26.04.2025. The police directed the persons, who were coming on motorcycles, to surrender. Then, they fired at the police. Applicant was apprehended. The police recovered Rs.3,500/- from the possession of the



2026:UHC:933

applicant. He told them that the said money was looted by him with his other associates on 25.04.2025 and 26.04.2025. He was arrested at 03:05 hrs on 29.04.2025.

3. On 25.04.2025, at around 10.15 p.m., unknown persons arrived at the informant's petrol pump "Guru Nanak Filling Station" on two motorcycles and four of them looted Rs.27,000/- by showing country made pistols to Nitin Singh, the salesman of the informant. The First Information Report (FIR No.133 of 2025) was registered on 26.04.2025. The applicant and others committed another dacoity on 26.04.2025 and looted Rs.40,000/- and a mobile phone from a petrol pump "MA Fuels" (FIR No.131 of 2025).

4. Heard Mr. Ankush Singhal, learned counsel appearing for the applicant and Mrs. Manisha Rana Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General for the respondent.

5. Mr. Ankush Singhal, Advocate, appearing for the applicant, has contended that the applicant has been falsely implicated by the police. He is not named in the said First Information Reports (FIR No.131 of 2025 and FIR No.133 of 2025). He was not identified by the alleged victim in the Test Identification Parade.



He was not involved in the said offence. Nothing was recovered from his possession. He has not been convicted by any Court. He is a permanent resident of District Sonipat (Haryana), therefore, there is no possibility of his absconding, and, he is in custody since 29.04.2025. Harendra alias Bittu, the co-accused of similar role, has already been granted regular bail by this Court on 05.01.2026.

6. Mrs. Manisha Rana Singh, Deputy Advocate General has opposed the bail application.

7. Bail is the rule and committal to jail is an exception. Refusal of bail is a restriction on the personal liberty of the individual, guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India. The object of keeping the accused in detention during the investigation or trial is not punishment. The main purpose is manifestly to secure the attendance of the accused.

8. Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for both the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case, no reason is found to keep the applicant behind the bars for an indefinite period, therefore, without expressing any opinion as to the



2026:UHC:933

merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant deserves bail at this stage.

9. The Bail Application is allowed.

10. Let the applicant – Sahil be released on bail on his executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

ALOK KUMAR VERMA, J.

Dt: 16.02.2026
Shiv/