



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Transfer Application No. 1407 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.07.2026

Simran Chanana
..... Petitioner

Versus

Deepam Khurana
..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Harmanjeet Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate
for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-wife, by way of present application/petition, seeks transfer of petition bearing *HMA/1424/2024*, titled “*Deepam Khurana Versus Simran Chanana*” filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 at the instance of respondent-husband; from the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Ludhiana to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Ferozepur.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for transfer of the above said petition on the following grounds:-

- (i) that the applicant-wife is residing with her mother at Ferozepur;
- (ii) that she has no source of income;
- (iii)that there is a distance of about 120 kms. between Ludhiana and Ferozepur;
- (iv)that there is threat to the petitioner from the side of respondent and his family members;
- (v) that four more cases filed by the petitioner are pending in the Court at Ferozepur.

[3] On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent vehemently opposes the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

[4] Having heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record, I find substance in the submission(s) made on behalf of the petitioner.

[5] Besides the facts/submission(s) noticed on behalf of the petitioner, the legal position in such like cases as the present one is also very clear. Reference in this regard may be made to a decision rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its decision rendered in ***Manjula Singh Chouhan Versus Vishal Singh Chouhan***, reported as **2019 (13) SCC 660**, wherein it has been held that, in the interest of both the parties, all connected matrimonial proceedings should ordinarily be heard by the same Court and, accordingly, allowed the transfer application therein. Relevant paras-3 to 5 of the aforesaid decision are extracted hereunder:-

“ 3. As per the submission of the appellant, two cases are already pending in Family Court, Bhopal, and it will be in the interests of both the parties to try all their cases in Bhopal. Learned counsel for the respondent however, submits that it will suit to the appellant only, therefore, transfer may not be permitted. The fact remains that the respondent has to travel to Bhopal for conduct of other cases pending in Family Court, Bhopal.

4. We are of the view that it will be in the interests of both the parties that all their cases be heard together by the same Court.

5. Therefore, H.M.A. Case No.71/2016 is transferred from Family Court, Indore to the Family Court, Bhopal.”

[6] Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, in the humble opinion of this Court, it would be in the interest of both the parties that all their cases be heard together by the same Court. Consequently, the transfer application is **allowed** and the petition bearing HMA/1424/2024

(supra) filed at the instance of respondent-husband, stands transferred from the Family Court, Ludhiana to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Family Court, Ferozepur. The requisite record of the aforesaid case be sent by the Family Court, Ludhiana to the Court concerned at the earliest where the parties would appear within a period of one month from today.

[7] The concerned Court at Ferozepur will make all endeavour to refer the case before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre for exploring the possibility of some amicable settlement between the parties.

[8] The Court concerned, where the litigation is pending between the parties, will accommodate them with one date in one calendar month.

[9] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

July 09, 2026
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>