



CWP-34701-2019

170

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-34701-2019

Date of decision : 08.07.2026

Anil Kumar @ Jony & ors.

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. S.K.Garg Narwana, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate
Mr. Shubham Goyal, Advocate
Mrs. Sukriti Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harpreet Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab


Ms. Sehar Navjeet Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.5, 7 to 9

Mr. S.S.Aviraj, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Mr. G.S.Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.10.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1 Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to decide departmental inquiry pending against respondents No.5 to 10, claimed to have been initiated on the complaint made by the petitioners. Further prayer is that respondent No.3 be also directed to decide the vigilance inquiry initiated on the basis of complaint made by the petitioners.



CWP-34701-2019

2 Today during the course of hearing, learned counsel for the State has produced copy of the inquiry report dated 27.09.2022. The copy of the inquiry report has been handed over to Mr. S.K.Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate.

3 As per the inquiry report, the Vigilance Department has found nothing against respondent No.5 apart from a deficiency in the installation of iron grill and a loss of Rs.865/- caused to the Department.

4 In view thereof, this Court finds that nothing survives in the present writ petition. Accordingly, the same is ordered to be disposed off. However, the parties shall be at liberty to avail of their remedies in accordance with law.

08.07.2026
Pooja Sharma-I

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No