



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26660-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.08.2026

Gurmeet Singh Tiwana

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, by way of instant writ petition, as cast under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prays for a Mandamus upon respondent No.2, to decide his application dated 21.11.2025 (Annexure P-1), for issuance of an Arms Licence

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already furnished all the necessary documents required for issuance of an Arms Licence, vide application (Annexure P-1), but even after lapse of a considerable time, the matter has not made any tangible progress.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service. At the outset, he fairly submits that he has no objection, in case, a Mandamus is passed upon the respondent concerned to take a final decision on the application (supra).

5. In view of the above, and since a *bona fide* and innocuous prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, by issuing a Mandamus upon the Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Magistrate, Malerkotla-respondent No.2, to consider and decide the application (supra), by passing a speaking order, within a



period of six weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to assert that before drawing a final order, a due opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to the petitioner.

6. However, in the event of default in compliance of the hereinabove direction, the petitioner is at liberty to move an apt application/motion for revival of the instant petition.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

21.08.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No