

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

122

CRM-M-44755-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 18.08.2026

BALBIR SINGH
STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

.....PETITIONER(S)
VERSUS
.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr. Fateh Sahota, Advocate and
Mr. Didar Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sanpreet Singh Kalra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

Short reply has been filed by learned State Counsel. The same be taken on record.

2. It has been submitted by learned State Counsel, on the instructions of ‘ASI Surender Singh’, that during the course of investigation in view of opinion of doctor with regard to nature of injury, Section 118(2) has been added.
3. In view of abovementioned submissions of learned State Counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not want to pursue the present petition, as it has become infructuous.
4. In view of above, the present petition is hereby *dismissed* as withdrawn, having become infructuous.

18.08.2026
Vinod

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No