



CRWP-8901-2026 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CRWP-8901-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.07.2026

PARAMJEET KAUR AND ANOTHER**PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Deshpreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Waqar Ahmed Khurshid, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Akash Yadav, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Anil Kumar Spehia, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Apprehending danger to their life and liberty, both petitioners who are in live-in relationship have filed the present petition with a prayer to direct official respondents to protect their life and liberty from respondents No.4 to 11.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 was born on 07.11.1991 and petitioner No.2 on 01.01.1988. Petitioner No.1 was married with respondent No.4 and two children were born out of the wedlock. Petitioner No.2 was married with respondent No.9 and two children were born out of the wedlock. Learned counsel further submits that both petitioners had developed an emotional bond and started living together. Respondent Nos.4 to 10 were unhappy with the relationship and were extending threats to eliminate the petitioners. Petitioners moved representation to respondent No.2 for protection of their life and liberty but to no avail.



CRWP-8901-2026 (O&M)

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Akash Yadav, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. Mr. Anil Kumar Spehia, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4 and files his *vakalatnama*, which is made part of the record. He submits that respondent No.4 was not extending any threat. Rather, the threats were being extended by the petitioners.

5. Heard.

6. Life and liberty of petitioners has to be protected irrespective of the fact that their relationship does not have approval of society.

7. Without commenting on the validity of relationship of the petitioners, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to decide representation dated 22.07.2026 (Annexure P-3) within a period of one month. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, necessary steps as per law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

8. However, this order shall neither validate the live-in-status between the petitioners nor shall have any bearing on any civil or criminal action, initiated or to be initiated against them.

9. Disposed of accordingly.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

27.07.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No