

**LPA-714-2018 (O&M) and
connected cases -1-**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**213-7
Date of Decision :16.07.2026**

**I LPA-714-2018 (O&M)
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...Appellants**

Versus

NASIB SINGH AND OTHERS ...Respondents

**II LPA-59-2021 (O&M)
PUNJAB SMALL INDUSTRIES AND EXPORT CORPORATION
...Appellants**

Versus

TILAK RAJ AND OTHERS ...Respondents

**III LPA-1089-2021 (O&M)
PUNJAB SMALL INDUSTRIES AND EXPORT CORPORATION
...Appellants**

Versus

PARAMJIT SINGH AND OTHERS ...Respondents

**IV LPA-1134-2021 (O&M)
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...Appellants**

Versus


KULWANT SINGH ...Respondent

**V LPA-244-2021 (O&M)
PUNJAB SMALL INDUSTRIES AND EXPORT CORPORATION
...Appellants**

Versus

DARSHAN SINGH AND OTHERS ...Respondents

VI LPA-245-2021 (O&M)



**LPA-714-2018 (O&M) and
connected cases**

-2-

**PUNJAB SMALL INDUSTRIES AND EXPORT CORPORATION
...Appellants**

Versus

**SURINDER SINGH AND OTHERS
...Respondents**

VII LPA-248-2021 (O&M)

**PUNJAB SMALL INDUSTRIES AND EXPORT CORPORATION
...Appellants**

Versus

**SHASHI KAPOOR AND OTHERS
...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harit Narang, Advocate with
Ms. Salina Chanlana, Advocate for the appellant
in LPA-59-2021, LPA Nos. 244, 245 and 248 of 2021 and
LPA-1089-2021.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate
for the respondents in LPA-59-2021, LPA-1089-2021,
LPA Nos.244, 245, 248 of 2021


Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate for
respondents No. 1 to 5 in LPA-714-2018.

Mr. R. K. Arora, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Jaskirat Singh Bhogal, Advocate for the respondent
in LPA-1134-2021.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Present bunch of appeals, the details of which are mentioned in
the heading, involve common point of law and common set of facts, hence,



**LPA-714-2018 (O&M) and
connected cases**

-3-

they are being dealt together.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants-State submits that no interim order had been granted against the judgment dated 08.02.2017 passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby directions had been issued to regularize the services of the respondents and the consequential benefits have already been extended to them. Learned counsel for the appellants-State further submits that some of the respondents must have retired by now. Hence, by keeping the question of law open, the present appeals may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. Pending civil miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case(s).

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

**(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

July 16, 2026

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes
Whether reportable : No