



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104

COCp-3360-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.07.2026

Amritpal Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Varun Roojam and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed for initiating proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for alleged wilful disobedience of order dated 20.03.2026 (Annexure P-1), passed by this Court in CWP-8516-2026. The said order reads as under:

“1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to decide their legal notice dated 16.02.2026.

2. The petitioners are claiming that State Government should issue instructions with respect to plying of vehicles on over-crowded roads. They have submitted representation to respondents.

3. Learned State counsel submits that matter is under consideration and appropriate decision would be taken in due course.

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, this petition stands disposed of.”



COC-3360-2026 (O&M)

-2-

2. A perusal of the aforesaid order would show that the petition was disposed of in the wake of the statement made by the learned State counsel to the effect that the matter is under consideration and an appropriate decision would be taken in due course. The order does not contain any positive direction requiring the respondents to act in a particular manner. Moreover, nothing has been brought on record to show that the State Government has declined to give consideration to the matter.

3. In view of the same, no alleged violation is shown to have occurred.

4. The present petition, being bereft of merit, is dismissed.

July 23, 2026
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No