



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-22300-2026
Date of decision: 22.07.2026

Jarnail Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Appellate Authority, Punjab State Warehousing
Corporation and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate with
Mr. Lovedev Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondent No.1 to decide the statutory appeal dated 03.07.2026 (Annexures P-4) along with application for stay dated 14.07.2026 (Annexures P-5) filed by the petitioner against the punishment order dated 05.06.2026 (Annexure P-3), passed by respondent No.2, within a time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for deciding the statutory appeal dated 03.07.2026 (Annexures P-4) along with application for stay dated 14.07.2026 (Annexures P-5) filed by the petitioner expeditiously by passing a speaking order in a time-bound manner. He further prays that till the final disposal of the said appeal, no recovery may be made from the petitioners.

3. Notice of motion

4. Mr. Abhilaksh Gaind, Advocate with Mr. Rakesh Roy,

CWP-22300-2026

-2-

Advocate has put in appearance through V.C. and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file.

6. Respondent No.1-Appellate Authority is directed to decide the statutory appeal dated 03.07.2026 (Annexures P-4) along with application for stay dated 14.07.2026 (Annexures P-5) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. Since the statutory appeal filed by the petitioner is pending and yet to be decided, it will be in the interest of justice that no further recovery be effected from the petitioner.

8. The present petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

22.07.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No