



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

161

CRWP No.8200 of 2026
Date of decision: 15.7.2026
Date of uploading : 15.7.2026

Harman Singh and another**.....Petitioners****v.****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Neeraj Jain, Advocate for the petitioners

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.
2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 6.7.2026 against the wishes of respondent Nos. 4 to 6, who happen to be the family members/relative of the petitioners.
5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that date of birth of petitioner No.1- Harman Singh is 16.11.2004 and petitioner No.2-Kajal Rani is 1.1.2006.



6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage as also the merits of contentions raised in the petition, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib to look into the representation dated 8.7.2026 (Annexure P-5) stated to have been submitted by the petitioners & pass appropriate orders thereupon within two weeks from today.
7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married against the wishes of their family(s), I direct that if possible, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as the parents of both the petitioners and their other concerned family members in his/her presence so that the parties may endeavour to resolve their dispute(s) and live amicably.
8. Liberty is reserved in favour of private respondents to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.
9. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.
10. The petition stands disposed of. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

15.7.2026
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No