



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37346-2026(O&M)

Date of decision: 14.07.2026

Kamaldeep

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Amardeep Singh Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of order dated 01.06.2026, passed by learned JMIC, Budhlada in CRM-586-2025, vide which the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed person in case FIR No.153, dated 19.11.2025, under Sections 316(2) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023, [13(1) & 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act added lateron], and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The petitioner had earlier filed an anticipatory bail petition, i.e. CRM-M-74105-2025. However, the same was dismissed by a Coordinate Bench vide a detailed order dated 17.02.2026 (Annexure P-8). Thereafter, the petitioner filed a second anticipatory bail petition, which was also dismissed. However, a copy of the said order has not been appended with the present petition.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is now ready to surrender before the trial Court. However, he prays that appropriate protection be granted to enable the petitioner to do so.

3. As per the allegations, the petitioner was allotted paddy on 28.10.2025. During a physical verification of the Mill conducted by the District Manager, MARKFED, it was found that 25,923 bags of paddy were short, out of which only 4,827 bags could be recovered.
4. After arguing the matter at some length, learned counsel seeks withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to take further recourse in regard to bail.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

(Sanjay Vashisth)
Judge

14.07.2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/ ~~No~~
Whether Reportable:	~~Yes~~ /No