

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC:095883



107

CRWP-8265 of 2026 (O&M)

Date of Order:15.07.2026

Meenakshi

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Ms. Divya Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J. (oral)

The writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeks following directions to official respondents:-

- (i) To replace two gunmen of Punjab police with two gunmen of Punjab Armed Police;
- (ii) To decide representation Annexure P-5 submitted by the petitioner in time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on efforts made by petitioner's husband, FIR No. 170 dated 11.08.2022, under Sections 302, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, Police Station Lopoke District Amritsar Rural, was registered against gangsters. When petitioner and her husband started receiving life threats, due to high risk threat perception, Inspector General of Police, (Border Range) Amritsar, deployed two Security officers for protection of their family. However, the security was withdrawn, whereupon petitioner approached this Court twice by way of

Writ Petition Nos. 11692 of 2025 and 5168 of 2026. Following orders of this Court two gunmen were provided by Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural office). The deployment was erratic and ineffective as the PSOs were frequently withdrawn on the pretext of law and order duties by Senior Superintendent of Police. Representation, Annexure P-5 was moved by petitioner's husband requesting for deployment of Security officers from Punjab Armed Police (PAP) but no action was taken.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajeshwar, Security wing o/o ADGP (Security) Chandigarh, submits that petitioner's representation was considered by respondent No.3 and request of the petitioner would be considered favourably subject to availability of adequate security personnel in Punjab Armed Police.

4. In view of the stance of official respondents, no further directions are required to be passed. Petition stands disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

15.07.2026

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No