



CRM-M-34258-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-34258-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision : 15.07.2026

DK (MINOR) THROUGH HIS MOTHER SHAKUNTLA DEVI

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ashit Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Maneet Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (corresponding to Section 438 Cr.P.C.) seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner who is juvenile in case arising out of FIR No.107 dated 03.05.2026, under Sections 115, 118(1), 126, 190, 191(3), 351(2) BNS,2023 (corresponding Sections 323, 324, 339, 149, 148, 506 IPC), Section 109 BNS, 2023 added later on (corresponding Section 307 IPC), registered at Police Station Butana, District Karnal.

2. A Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 22.06.2026 had directed the petitioner to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer and join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the SHO/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).



CRM-M-34258-2026

-2-

3. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 22.06.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation.

4. Learned State counsel, upon instructions, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.06.2026 is **made absolute**. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

6. However, it is clarified that the investigating authority on addition of an offence or offences may not proceed to arrest the accused/petitioner, but for arresting the accused/petitioner on such addition of offence or offences, it needs to obtain an order to arrest the accused/petitioner from the Court which had granted the bail in view of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Sumit versus State of U.P. and Another*, Criminal Appeal No. 830 of 2026, decided on 09.02.2026.

7. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

15.07.2026
Sonia

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No