



117 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18255-2026

Date of decision: 13.07.2026

Minakshi Kalra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Dhiraj Chawla, Advocate and
Ms. Mehak Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider and transfer/post the petitioner to a nearby rural area Government College in consonance with the Departmental Rural Area Service Policy dated 06.09.2013 (Annexure P-2) and memo/policy dated 15.01.2014 (Annexure P-3) so as to enable the petitioner to complete the mandatory rural service tenure required for grant of higher pay scale, selection grade within a time bound period.

2. The primary grievance of the petitioner is regarding that she is ready and willing to seek mandatory rural tenure for her entitlement to higher pay scale and full retiral benefits as earlier she has declined to accept the rural posting on account of compelling circumstances. However, the circumstances of the petitioner have materially changed and the earlier constraints no longer survives. As such, the petitioner moved a representation on 18.03.2026



CWP-18255-2026

-2-

(Annexure P-6) through proper channel seeking a rural posting. She again submitted a reminder representation on 08.04.2026 (Annexure P-7) which remained unheeded.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the representation (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner is decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice, submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 for time-bound consideration and decision of the representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

5. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the representation (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording her an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.2.

6. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

13.07.2026
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No