



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-30242-2026
Date of Decision: 18.08.2026

Inderpreet Singh @ Happy

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate for
Mr. Prakash Chand Shandil, Advocate, for the complainant.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short the "BNS") is for the grant of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.55, dated 01.04.2026 registered under Sections 115(2), 333, 304(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short the "BNS") at Police Station City Samana, District Patiala and for staying the arrest of the petitioner during the pendency of the present petition.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Section 117(2) BNS was added subsequently and prays that the said Section be added in the head note as also in the prayer clause.
3. The request is allowed.
4. The petitioner is permitted to add Section 117(2) BNS in the head note as also in the prayer clause.
5. The requisite addition has been made.
6. The registry is directed to take note of the said fact.

7. On 26.05.2026, the following order was passed by a coordinate

Bench:-

“Present petition has been filed praying for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.55, dated 01.04.2026, under Sections 115(2), 333, 304(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, registered at Police Station City Samana, District Patiala and for staying the arrest of the petitioner during the pendency of the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has submitted that the present FIR has been lodged on some misunderstanding. To buttress his arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that both the sides have amicably resolved their outstanding issue and the petition praying for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise bearing CRM-M-30305-2026 has already been filed.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. K. D. Sachdeva, DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

To come up on 18.08.2026.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS:-

- (i) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.*
- (ii) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.*
- (iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the court.”*

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the aforesaid order dated 26.05.2026, the petitioner has duly joined investigation.

9. Learned counsel representing the State of Punjab, on instructions from ASI Balvir Singh, who is present in the Court, submits that in compliance of the order dated 26.05.2026, the petitioner has duly joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

10. In view of the fact that petitioner has duly joined investigation and keeping in view the statement given by learned counsel representing the State of Haryana, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 26.05.2026 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to compliance of the provisions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

August 18, 2026

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No