2026:PHHC:085673

168

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30571-2026

Sunita Devi

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Date of decision: May 29, 2026
Date of Uploading: May 29, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Mahesh Inder Preet, Advocate for
Mr. Jarnail Singh Saneta, Advocate for the petitioner.

*****

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

The substantive prayer made in the petition in hand reads thus:

> "*It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that this petition may kindly be allowed and the appropriate direction may kindly be issued to the respondent No.4 not to interfere in the free plying of the vehicle of the petitioner bearing registration HR-45-D-6473 in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India reported in RCR Criminal 2007(2) page 76 titled as "ICICI Bank Ltd. Vs Parkash Kaur and others" and Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India reported in SLP (Crl.) No. 4935 of 2006 decided on 15.5.2008 in case titled as "ICICI Bank Vs. Shanti Devi Sharma & Ors", keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case; in the interest of justice.*"

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to the concerned authority to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 12.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) made by the petitioner.

3. On the other hand, on advance service of copy of petition, Ms. Priyanka Sadar Thakur, Senior DAG Haryana causes appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and submits that grievance of the petitioner will be

MAHAVIR SINGH
2026.05.29 17:16
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/ judgment

looked into by the concerned authority, i.e., Superintendent of Police, Karnal (respondent No.2) and it shall take decision either way, on the representation dated 12.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner.

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition in hand is **disposed of** with a direction to the concerned authority, i.e., Superintendent of Police, Karnal (respondent No.2) to consider representation dated 12.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner and take a ratiocinated decision thereupon, in accordance with law, within a period of 02 weeks from the date of receipt/ production of certified copy of this order and the same be communicated to the petitioner thereafter.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

May 29, 2026
mahavir
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No

MAHAVIR SINGH
2026.05.29 17:16
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/ judgment