



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28697-2026

Date of Decision:20.07.2026

Paramjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Harpal Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abnash Singh, Advocate
Mr. Mumtaz Akhtar, Advocate
for the petitioner (POA filed).

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant regular bail to her in case FIR No.44, dated 26.02.2026, under Sections 318(4), 3(5) of B.N.S {Sections 420 and 34 of IPC} and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation Act, 2014, registered at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that from a perusal of the F.I.R, it is evident that allegations have been mainly levelled against Sukhchain Singh, husband of the petitioner and she has been unnecessarily dragged into the present case. He further contends that the petitioner and the complainant are relatives and even, an amount of Rs.3,50,000/- was deposited in the account of the petitioner, on the asking of the husband of the petitioner.

The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 27.03.2026 and is a first

offender. Even, challan has already been presented against her and all the offences in the present case are triable by the Court of Magistrate.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, it is an admitted fact that the petitioner is wife of Sukhchain Singh, main accused. Even, Sukhchain Singh has been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide order of even date, wherein, he has assured to return an amount of Rs.15,50,000/- to the complainant. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last about four months and challan has already been presented against her. Even, all the offences in the present case are triable by the Court of Magistrate and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on her furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

20.07.2026
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No