



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRA-S-1457-2026
Decided on: 15.07.2026

RAJAT

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sanpreet Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Garg, DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present appeal has been filed by the appellant, challenging the order dated 06.04.2026, whereby his request for grant of anticipatory bail has been declined by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, in case FIR No.37 dated 10.02.2026 under sections 115, 190, 191(3), 333, 351(2), 117(2) BNS and sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) of SC/ST Act, registered at Police Station City Jagadhri, Yamuna Nagar.

2. On 28.04.2026, following order was passed:-

- “1. xxxx xxxx xxxx xxx
2. Counsel for the appellant submits that name of the appellant does not find mention in the FIR, nor any specific role has been attributed to him therein. It is further contended that no direct motive can be ascribed to the appellant.
3. Learned counsel further submits that allegations under the SC/ST Act are levelled only against the two named accused, namely Lovepreet and



Vineet Rana, and not against the appellant. Thus, it is argued that no prima facie offence is made out against the appellant.

Thus, counsel prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the appellant in the present case.

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Haryana, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.*

6. *Adjourned to 15.07.2026.*

7. *Meanwhile, the appellant is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the appellant shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The appellant shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that appellant would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, appellant would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the appellant contends that in compliance of the order dated 28.04.2026, passed by this Court, appellant has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the appellant of joining the investigation by the appellant, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the appellant is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.



6. Since, appellant has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 28.04.2026, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present appeal is allowed.

However, appellant shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, appeal stands disposed of.

However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the appellant to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

15.07.2026
Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO