



COCP-1932-2026 (O&M)

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCOCP-1932-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.07.2026

PRIYANKA BANSAL

...PETITIONER

Versus

KAP SINHA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Balwinder Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 (*for short, 'the Act'*), against the respondent for willful disobedience of the directions issued by the Writ Court in CWP-13832-2024, vide order dated 22.07.2025 (Annexure P-1).
2. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The following directions were issued by the Writ Court vide order dated 22.07.2025 :-

"3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Rajesh Prashar, Sr. Asstt., Social Security and Mr. Gaurav Dhiman, Sr. Asstt., DHS, Punjab, who are present in Court, submits that the petitioner shall now be examined by the Board of Eye Specialists of the Govt. Medical College, Patiala, uninfluenced by the certificate issued by the PGIMER, Chandigarh, in terms of Clause 19.2 of the Instructions issued by the Government of India, as these have not

**COCP-1932-2026 (O&M)**

been adopted by the State of Punjab. In case, her disability is found to be in consonance with the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Rules, 2017, she will be allowed to join within a period of 4 weeks.

4. *The aforesaid satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.*

5. *Disposed of accordingly.”*

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the Department of Personnel wrote a letter dated 06.05.2022 to the Department of Social Security, asking them whether the petitioner can be allowed to join on the basis of Disability Certificate, however, the Department of Personnel, through a letter dated 18.08.2022, informed the petitioner that the matter has been discussed with the Department as well as with the PGIMER, Chandigarh and the petitioner has been advised to go through the Potential Acuity Meter (PAM) Test from the All India Instituted of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi. Accordingly, the petitioner underwent that aforesaid test and the report dated 25.08.2022 of the AIIMS is annexed as Annexure P-7.

4.1 Thereafter, the petitioner approached the Writ Court and as per order in question dated 22.07.2025, there was a specific direction that Eye Specialists from the Government Medical College, Patiala, uninfluenced by the previously issued certificate by the PGIMER, Chandigarh, in terms of Clause 19.2 of the Instructions issued by the Government of India, shall examine the petitioner, but she has neither been supplied with a copy of the said report, nor allowed to join her duties.

4.2 *Per contra*, learned State counsel representing the respondents, while referring to the reply filed on behalf of the

**COCP-1932-2026 (O&M)**

respondents, submits that the petitioner has been examined by a Board of Doctors at the Government Medical College and Rajindra Hospital, Patiala, on 03.07.2026 and a copy of the report issued by the Board of Doctors is submitted in the Court today, which is taken on record.

5. As per the aforesaid report, the present percentage of visual disability of the petitioner is 60% and is temporary in nature.

The relevant portion of the report reads as under:-

“Patient Priyanka Bansal 35 years female D/o Ved Parkash is examined as eye OPD of Rajindra Hospital, Patiala vide OPD Slip No 20250251950 dated 03.07.26. The findings of the examination are:-

1. Visual Acuity:

Right eye – FC ½ meter not improving with glasses

Left eye – 6/24 no improving with glasses.

2. Slit Lamp Examination:

Right eye – Pseudophakia

Left eye – Anterior Polar Cataract

3. Fundus Examination: With normal limits both eyes.

4. OCT: Within normal limits both eyes.

She needs further evaluation at higher centre to rule out cause of diminished vision of right eye.

Based on above findings, presently percentage of visual disability is 60% (Sixty Percent) as per latest visual disability guidelines; it is TEMPORARY in nature as vision in left eye may improve after cataract surgery of left eye.”

6. Since the petitioner has been examined by the Board of Doctors at Government Medical College, Patiala, in terms of the undertaking given on behalf of the respondents before the Writ Court, there is no question of initiation of proceedings under Sections 11 & 12 of the Act.

COCp-1932-2026 (O&M)

7. In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition and as such, same is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternate legal remedy by way of challenging the report dated 03.07.2026 of the Board of Doctors, GMC & Rajindra Hospital, Patiala, if so advised.
8. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

23.07.2026
atulsethi

[HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No