



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102+206

**IOIN-CWP-11800-2019 in/and
CWP-11800-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.09.2026**

Pardeep Kumar Puri and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Arnav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

IOIN-CWP-11800-2019

The Registry has put up this IOIN as in the order dated 05.12.2022, case number of the present petition has been wrongly mentioned as 'CWP-10617-2019' instead of 'CWP-11800-2019'.

Accordingly, the case number of the present petition in the order dated 05.12.2022 is ordered to be corrected as 'CWP-11800-2019' instead of 'CWP-10617-2019'. The Registry is directed to do the needful.

IOIN stands disposed of accordingly.

CM Nos.16815-16820-CWP of 2026

These applications have been filed by the
applicants/petitioners under Order 22 Rule 4 read with Section 151 of

CPC for bringing on record legal heirs of deceased petitioners No.4-Anil Kumar, petitioner No.13-Kashmir Singh, petitioner No.21-Mohinder Singh, petitioner No.36-Harnam Kumar, petitioner No.39-Ravinder and petitioner No.50-Deepak Kumar, who have expired during the pendency of the present petition.

Notice of the applications.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.4 accept notice of the applications and have no objection to the prayer made in these applications.

Consequently, all the applications are allowed and the legal heirs of the above-said petitioners are brought on record. Amended memo of parties is taken on record. The Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

CWP-11800-2019

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, for declaring the instructions dated 13.10.2017 (Annexure P-4) as selective in its operation with respect to employees working in those public sector undertakings and autonomous bodies where New Pension Scheme stood adopted with effect from 01.01.2004 and in respect of the employees where the said scheme was not adopted being totally wrong, illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India. Further, a writ of mandamus has been sought for directing the respondents to apply the New Pension Scheme uniformly to all the public sector undertakings and autonomous bodies

with effect from 09.07.2012, the date on which the Government had issued instructions dated 09.07.2012 (Annexure P-2).

2. The claim of the petitioners is for counting the previous service rendered by them on daily wage basis prior to their date of regularisation.

3. Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the present case is squarely covered by a judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Punjab School Education Board and another v. Satnam Singh and others : 2026 Law Finder (SC) 5248*.

4. Disposed of in the same terms as *Punjab School Education Board and another v. Satnam Singh and others : 2026 Law Finder (SC) 5248*.

5. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

10.09.2026

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No