

2026.PHHC.089822



202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

TA-475-2026

Date of Decision: July 03, 2026

SANDEEP KAUR

.....Petitioner

Versus

PARWINDER SINGH

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.
(through video conferencing).

None for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

[1] The petitioner-wife, by way of present application, seeks transfer of case bearing DMC No. 23 of 2026, dated 17.02.2026, titled "**Parwinder Singh Vs. Sandeep Kaur**", filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (hereinafter to be referred as "divorce petition") at the instance of respondent-husband; from the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Zira to the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Rupnagar.

[2] Briefly stating, the marriage between the petitioner and respondent was solemnized on 21.11.2021; out of their wedlock, a girl child namely, Aishpreet, was born on 27.12.2023; on account of matrimonial discord between the parties, the above mentioned divorce petition came to be preferred at the instance of respondent-husband. Thereafter, the present application seeking its transfer came to be filed by the petitioner-wife.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner-wife has also filed maintenance application bearing MNT No. 125/538/2026 before the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Rupnagar. Another complaint under Sections 18, 19, 20 and 22 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, was also filed by the petitioner at Rupnagar

Accordingly, a prayer is made for transfer of the above mentioned divorce petition filed at the instance of respondent-husband from Family Court, Zira to Family Court, Rupnagar.

[4] Despite service, no one has chosen to appear on behalf of respondent.

[5] I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the paper-book.

[6] In the present case, admittedly, the petitioner-wife has filed a maintenance application bearing No. **MNT/125/538/2026** titled “**Sandeep Kaur and Anr. Vs. Parwinder Singh**” before the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Rupnagar and a complaint bearing No. COMA/896/2026 titled “**Sandeep Kaur Vs. Parvinder Singh and Ors.**”

[7] As per the averments made in the present transfer application, the distance between Zira and Rupnagar is approximately 200 kilometres and the petitioner-wife having no independent source of income would be suffering a great deal of inconvenience in travelling on each date of hearing along with her minor daughter.

[8] Furthermore, the Hon’ble Supreme Court in its decision rendered in “**Manjula Singh Chouhan Versus Vishal singh Chouhan**”, reported as **2019 (13) SCC 660** held that, in the interest of both the parties, all connected matrimonial proceedings should ordinarily be heard by the same Court and, accordingly, allowed the transfer application therein.

[9] Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, in the humble opinion of this Court, it would be in the interest of both the parties that all their cases be heard together by the same Court. Consequently the transfer application is allowed and the divorce petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e. **DMC/23/2026**, titled “**Parwinder Singh Vs. Sandeep Kaur**”, filed by the respondent-husband, stands transferred from the Family Court, Zira, to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Family Court, Rupnagar. The

requisite record of the aforesaid case be sent by the Family Court, Zira to the Court concerned.

[10] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

03.07.2026
Tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>