



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

426

CWP-19-2005 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.07.2026

Tayub Hussain

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sarfaraj Anjum Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel refers to the relevant part of the judgment dated 18.08.2000 passed by Division Bench as per which for the period he remained out of service only arrears was not to be granted, however, he was entitled to increments and it ought to have been counted for grant of 1st and 2nd ACP as well. No decision on the claim of the petitioner has been taken till date. He prays that a direction be given to the respondents to consider it taking note of the observations made in the judgment in a time bound manner.

2. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.

2026:PHHC:092808



3. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to reconsider the claim of the petitioner, within a period of 4 months, taking note of the aforesaid submissions, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

08.07.2026

Satyawan

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>