


282 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH



CRM-M-14785-2026 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 28.07.2026

NAVEEN KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present : Mr. Jasinder S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Sra, Addl AG Punjab.

Mr. Deepanshu Arora, Advocate for respondent  
No.2/complainant. (Through VC)

\*\*\*\*

**VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)**

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 26.02.2026 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

**DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-**

| FIR No. | Date       | Section(s)                | Police Station                             |
|---------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 129     | 18.08.2022 | 63 of Copyright Act, 1957 | PS Sirhind,<br>District<br>Fatehgarh Sahib |

2. Vide order dated 18.03.2026, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned District and Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has

indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondent has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondent is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question. Status report by way of an affidavit of Mr. Gurpartap Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Command Centre, District Fatehgarh Sahib has been filed by learned State counsel, which is taken on record.

6. In view of the report of the learned District & Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed, qua the petitioner.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

28.07.2026  
poonam

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |