



210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.15341 of 2026
Date of Decision:-22.07.2026**

Saleem Khan

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present:- Mr. Rashmeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition preferred under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'the BNSS') is for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.851 dated 30.09.2022 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa.

2. On 30.04.2026, the following order was passed by a Coordinate Bench:-

“Present petition has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.851 dated 30.09.2022 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa, Haryana.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this

case. He submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the present case only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. He submits that similarly situated co-accused, namely, Sita Ram Bola, has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 07.08.2025, passed by this Court in CRM-M-11929-2025. He submits that in the present case there is no admissible evidence against the petitioner. He thus, submits that no prima facie case is made out against the petitioner and he deserves to be granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

Status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (Traffic) Sirsa, District Sirsa has been filed on behalf of respondent-State in the Court today, same is taken on record.

Adjourned to 15.07.2026.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023:-

- (i) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.*
- (ii) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.*
- (iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the court.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance

of the aforesaid order dated 30.04.2026, the petitioner has duly joined investigation.

4. Learned counsel representing the State of Haryana, on instructions from SI Balkishan, P.S. City Sirsa, who is present in the Court, submits that in compliance of the order dated 30.04.2026, the petitioner has duly joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

5. In view of the fact that the petitioner has duly joined investigation and keeping in view the statement given by learned counsel representing the State of Haryana, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 30.04.2026 is made absolute, subject to compliance of the provisions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

July 22, 2026
Yag Dutt

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No