



**CM No. 2639-LPA-2026 IN/AND
LPA-67-2021 (O&M) and other connected cases -1-
134+258 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

258(1)

**CM No. 2639-LPA-2026 IN/AND
LPA-67-2021 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 08.07.2026**

RAMPAL AND ANOTHER

.....APPELLANTS

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

2.

LPA-84-2021 (O&M)

JOGINDER SINGH

...APPELLANTS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

3.

LPA-119-2021 (O&M)

**HARYANA PRIVATE COLLEGES NON TEACHING EMPLOYEES
UNION (REGD.)**

...APPELLANTS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

4.

LPA-162-2021 (O&M)

HARYANA STATE ADHYAPAK SANGH

...APPELLANT

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS



CM No. 2639-LPA-2026 IN/AND
LPA-67-2021 (O&M) and other connected cases -2-
5. LPA-233-2021 (O&M)

HARYANA STATE ADHYAPAK SANGH
...APPELLANT

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
.....RESPONDENTS

6. LPA-250-2021 (O&M)

GULAB SINGH AND OTHERS
.....APPELLANTS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
.....RESPONDENTS

7. LPA-253-2021 (O&M)

VINOD KUMAR AND OTHERS
...APPELLANTS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
....RESPONDENTS

8. LPA-276-2021 (O&M)

CHANDER SHEKHAR
..APPELLANT

VS.


STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
...RESPONDENTS

9. LPA-424-2021 (O&M)

SUDESH KUMARI AND OTHERS
...APPELLANTS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
...RESPONDENTS



CM No. 2639-LPA-2026 IN/AND
LPA-67-2021 (O&M) and other connected cases -3-
10. CM-367-LPA-2023 IN/AND
LPA-116-2023 (O&M)

BAL KISHAN AND OTHERS ...APPELLANTS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

11. LPA-206-2023 (O&M)

ANUJ KUMAR AND ANOTHER ...APPELLANTS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS RESPONDENTS

12. CM-876-LPA-2023 IN/AND
LPA-307-2023 (O&M)

SATISH KUMAR CHAUHAN AND OTHERS ...APPELLANTS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS RESPONDENTS

13. LPA-3372-2024 (O&M)

ANJU AJMANI ...APPELLANTS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS RESPONDENTS



**CM No. 2639-LPA-2026 IN/AND
LPA-67-2021 (O&M) and other connected cases**

-4-

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Gurminder Singh, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Karmanbir Singh, Advocate,
for the appellants (in LPA-162-2021).

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Sidhrath & Ms. Preet Agroa, Advocates,
for the applicant(s)
(in Cms-783-84-LPA-2021 and Cms-965-66-LPA-2021 in
LPA-162-2021).

Mr. Puneet Bali, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Avi Kaushik, for the appellants (in LPA-119-2021).

Mr. Jasbir Singh Malik, Advocate, (joined through VC) with
Mr. Anshul Jain, Advocate, for the appellant(s)
(in LPA Nos. 233 and 250 of 2021).

Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate,
for the appellant (s)
(in LPA Nos. 67, 84, 235, 276 and 424 of 2021)

Mr. Vikram Sheoran & Ms. Alka Sheoran, Advocates,
for the appellants (in LPA No. 116 of 2023).

Mr. Aman Mittal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. B.S. Mittal, Advocate, for respondents No. 149 to 151 and
153 and 155 (in LPA-162-2021).

Mr. Brijesh Jangra, Advocate, for
Mr. Sukhandeep Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No. 4 (in LPA-233-2021).

Mr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 69 and 70
(in LPA Nos. 162 & 233 of 2021)

Mr. Pawan Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate,
for respondent No. 60 (in LPA-162-2021).

Mr. Govind Goel, Mr. Rahul Rana &
Mr. Ankur Goel, Advocates,
for respondents No. 46, 48, 50, 53, 69, 105, 118, 119, 122,
125, 126, 127, 130 and 200
(in LPA-162-2021, LPA-233-2021)

**CM No. 2639-LPA-2026 IN/AND****LPA-67-2021 (O&M) and other connected cases****-5-**

Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Pratyush Sood, Advocate, for respondents No.94 to 96
(in LPA-119-2021) and
for respondents No. 165 and 171 (in LPA-162-2021).

Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.115
(in LPA Nos. 162, 119 and 233 of 2021).

Mr. Saurabh, Advocate, for
Mr. Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for respondent No. 166 (in LPA-162-2021).

Mr. Mohit Thakur, Advocate, for
Mr. Vineet Jakhal, Advocate,
for respondent No.25 (in LPA-119-2021).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)**CM-367-LPA-2023 IN LPA-116-2023 (O&M)**

(i) Prayer in the present application, filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 is for condonation of a delay of 74 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

(ii) Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the delay of 74 days in filing the accompanying appeal is hereby condoned.

(iii) CM stands disposed of.

CM-876-LPA-2023 IN LPA-307-2023 (O&M)

(i) Prayer in the present application, filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 is for condonation of a delay of 44 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

(ii) Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the delay of 44 days in filing the accompanying appeal is hereby condoned.

(iii) CM stands disposed of.



CM No. 2639-LPA-2026 IN/AND
LPA-67-2021 (O&M) and other connected cases
LPA-67-2021 (O&M) and other connected cases

-6-

1. By this common order, a bunch of 13 LPAs, the details whereof are given in the heading of this order, are being disposed of together, as they involve the same question of law and arise out of similar facts.


2. After arguing at length, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the applicants-appellants submits that all the questions raised before the learned Single Judge have not been adjudicated in view of the remand order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Hence, the present appeals be disposed of as not pressed, with liberty to the appellants to file review petitions before the learned Single Judge.

3. Learned Senior Counsel further submits that in the event the appellants do not succeed in the review petitions, they may also be granted liberty to approach this Court by filing fresh LPAs challenging the main order, as well as the order passed in the review petitions.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Lastly, the learned Senior Counsel submits the present LPAs have remained pending for a considerable period and the prescribed period of limitation for filing the review petitions has expired, while the necessity of filing such review petitions arose only during the course of hearing in the present appeals, any review petitions, if filed, be directed to be decided on merits without being rejected on the ground of limitation by the State.

6. The aforesaid prayer deserves to be accepted, as the necessity of filing the review petitions arose only during the course of hearing of the



**CM No. 2639-LPA-2026 IN/AND
LPA-67-2021 (O&M) and other connected cases -7-**

present appeals. Accordingly, if any review petitions are filed within a period of 30 days from the date of the order, the same shall be treated as having been filed within limitation by condoning the delay and shall be considered and decided on merits, in accordance with law.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.
8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the other connected cases.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

JULY 08, 2026	(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
nitin	JUDGE
Whether Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No