

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.10475 OF 2026

DATE : 14.07.2026

Between :

Arya Ramesh
S/o. Manik.

...Petitioner/
Accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
Hyderabad.

... Respondent
Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/accused seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No.58 of 2026 of Zaheerabad Town Police Station, Sangareddy District. The offence alleged against the petitioner is punishable under Section 121 (2) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the complainant lodged a report before police on 31.05.2026, wherein it is stated that he along with Police Constable went to Blue Colt duty on government motorcycle bearing

No.TS09PC0670 towards Gousabad Crossroads through Dhanasiri Village. While they were passing through Basaveshwara chowk using motorcycle siren in connection with official duty, one person suddenly came on the road shouting loudly and obstructed their movement by standing in front of the motorcycle. The said person stopped the motorcycle movement by standing in front of the vehicle and abused them in filthy language and questioned them as to why they were using the siren and obstructed them from discharging their official duties. The said person forcibly removed the key from the motorcycle. When the complainant tried to pacify the matter, the said person forcibly pushed him, due to which he fell down and sustained injury to his left hand. Hence, registered a case against the petitioner for the aforesaid offence.

3. Heard Sri J.Abhishek, learned counsel for the petitioner/accused and Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent of the offence alleged against him; that there is such offence committed by

the petitioner; that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 03.06.2026; that entire investigation is completed, further incarceration of the petitioner is not required in the present case, as such requested this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that the petitioner herein obstructed the official duty of the public servant and also caused grievous injury to the public servant. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for grant of bail. However, he informed the Court that the investigation is already completed and charge sheet is already filed.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor, the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 03.06.2026. According to the Additional Public Prosecutor, the respondent-police filed charge sheet. Considering the nature of allegations and period of incarceration, and completion of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner-accused subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner-accused shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten

Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Zaheerabad.

- (ii) On such release, the petitioner-accused shall appear before the concerned S.H.O. between 09:00 a.m., and 5:00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) The petitioner-accused shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 14.07.2026

YVL