



WP.Crl.(MD)No.4523 of 2026

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH
COURT**

Dated : 11.08.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE P. MURUGAN

WP CRL.(MD). No.4523 of 2026

P. Suresh Rajkumar, ... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamilnadu Rep.,
By the Superintendent of Police,
Tuticorin District,
Tuticorin..

2. The State of Tamilnadu
Rep.by the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Tuticorin District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Ettayapuram Police Station,
Ettayapuram,
Tuticorin District.

4. T.Sundaravel

5. S.Natarajan

6. E.Kasi

7. K.Ramar



WP.Crl.(MD)No.4523 of 2026

8. K.Murugan

9. S.Seenivasan

... Respondents

PRAYER :- This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to direct the respondents 1 to 3 to take appropriate action against the respondents 4 to 9 consequently directing the 3rd respondent to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of petitioner along with the temple committee members and to construct the temple Mandapam without any hindrance on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 22.07.2026.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kishore Kumar

For Respondents : Ms.V.Moushica,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R1 to R3

ORDER

This Petition is filed seeking to direct the respondents 1 to 3 to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner.

2. The memo filed in the case reveals that the petitioner is the Secretary of the Trust, which has commenced construction of a



WP.Crl.(MD)No.4523 of 2026

temple in the temple land. The private respondents 4 to 9, who are the neighbouring landowners, are alleged to have obstructed the construction of the Mandapam in the temple premises. Aggrieved by the said obstruction, the petitioner submitted representations/complaints to the Superintendent of Police, Thoothukudi District, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Thoothukudi District, and the Inspector of Police, Ettayapuram Police Station, Thoothukudi District. Since no effective action was taken on the said representation/complaint, the present writ petition has been filed.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that, though the petitioner had lodged a complaint before the Inspector of Police, the same was forwarded to the Superintendent of Police and thereafter received by the Inspector of Police for enquiry . During the course of enquiry, the petitioner gave a statement stating that he did not intend to pursue the matter any further, as the villagers were not causing any obstruction to the construction of the Mandapam. Based on the said statement given by



WP.Crl.(MD)No.4523 of 2026

WEB COPY

the petitioner, the police closed the complaint, and the closure proceedings have also been produced before this Court.

4. The records placed before this Court by the State, coupled with the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side), reveal that, on 04.08.2026, the Inspector of Police closed the complaint on the basis of the written statement given by the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, though the Inspector of Police had closed the present complaint, which was forwarded by the Superintendent of Police, the complaint originally given by the petitioner before the Inspector of Police is still pending. He further submitted that the police had assured the petitioner that necessary police protection would be provided during the Kumbabishekam. The said submission was disputed by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side).



WP.Crl.(MD)No.4523 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner sought a copy of the closure proceedings. Accordingly, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) was directed to furnish a copy of the closure proceedings forthwith.

7. On perusal of the closure proceedings, it is seen that neither the proceedings nor the decision taken thereon was communicated to the parties concerned at the earliest point of time. In the event of any further cause or dispute arising in future, it is always open to the petitioner to approach the police in accordance with law.

8. Since the complaint has already been closed on the basis of the statement given by the petitioner himself, the apprehension of the petitioner that the police would proceed further with the very same complaint does not arise.



WP.Crl.(MD)No.4523 of 2026

WEB COPY

9. Recording the same, this Writ Petition is closed.

11.08.2026

Internet : Yes/No

Index:Yes/No

LS

TO

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tuticorin District,
Tuticorin..

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Tuticorin District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Ettayapuram Police Station,
Ettayapuram, Tuticorin District.



WEB COPY



WP.Crl.(MD)No.4523 of 2026

DR. P. MURUGAN,J.

LS

W.P.Crl.(MD) No.4523 of 2026

11.08.2026