



W.P.(MD) No.22773 of 2026

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **07.08.2026**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **C.SARAVANAN**

W.P.(MD) No.22773 of 2026
and
W.M.P.(MD) No.16956 of 2026

P.Rengaraj

... Petitioner

/vs./

1.The Regional Transport Officer
Trichy West
Trichy District.

2.The Motor Vehicle Inspector Grade-1
Unit Office, Manapparai
Trichy District.

3.The Regional Transport Officer
Dharmapuri.

4.M.Sendhilarasu

5.The Manger

6.Premkumari

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records relating to the impugned proceedings dated 21.07.2026 in Memo No.8169/A1/2026 of the 2nd respondent and quash the same.

1/6



W.P.(MD) No.22773 of 2026

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Anandkumar
For R1 to R3 : Mr.S.Shanmugam
Government Advocate

ORDER

Mr.S.Shanmugam, learned Government Advocate, takes notice for the respondents 1 to 3.

2.By consent, this writ petition is disposed of at the time of admission, after hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the respondents 1 to 3 and after dispensing with the notice on the respondents 4 to 6, as no adverse orders are proposed to be passed as against them at this stage in this writ petition.

3.The petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned show cause notice in Memo.No.8169/A1/2026 dated 21.07.2026, whereby the petitioner has been called upon to show cause as to why the registration of the vehicle purchased by the petitioner from the sixth respondent should not be cancelled based on the representation of the fourth respondent.



W.P.(MD) No.22773 of 2026

WEB COPY

4.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner had purchased the vehicle, which was earlier hypothecated by the sixth respondent with the fourth respondent and that the fourth respondent had discharged the hypothecation and to that effect, the fourth respondent had issued a termination of HP Endorsement on 08.09.2025 and on the basis of the same, the petitioner purchased the vehicle from the sixth respondent and the registration was also altered in the name of the petitioner on 09.01.2026.

5.It is submitted that strangely, the fourth respondent has given a complaint and based on the said complaint, the third respondent has issued the impugned notice.

6.It is submitted that the cause of action if at all could arise only within the jurisdiction of the third respondent, as the fourth respondent as well as the sixth respondent are from Dharmapuri District and not the second respondent.



W.P.(MD) No.22773 of 2026

WEB COPY

7.The learned Government Advocate for the respondents would submit that the show cause notice issued by the second respondent will be adjudicated by the third respondent.

8.Recording the same, the Writ Petition stands disposed of, by directing the petitioner to give a reply to the impugned show cause notice in Memo.No. 8169/A1/2026 dated 21.07.2026 with the third respondent, who shall thereafter pass appropriate orders on the aforesaid show cause notice. The petitioner is also directed to co-operate with the third respondent. Needless to state, notice shall be issued to the private respondents herein by the third respondent before passing any final orders. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
mm

07.08.2026

To

1.The Regional Transport Officer
Trichy West
Trichy District.

2.The Motor Vehicle Inspector Grade-1
Unit Office, Manapparai, Trichy District.

4/6



W.P.(MD) No.22773 of 2026

WEB COPY

3.The Regional Transport Officer
Dharmapuri.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.22773 of 2026

C.SARAVANAN, J.

mm

W.P.(MD) No.22773 of 2026

07.08.2026