



CRL OP(MD). No.16896 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/08/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR**

CRL OP(MD). No.16896 of 2026

Marimuthu,
S/o.Rengasamy,
No.1/139,Main Road,
Gandhi Nagar,Nagalur,
Papanasam Taluk,
Thanjavur District..

... Petitioner/Accused No.6
Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Papanasam Police Station,
Thanjavur District,
Crime No.215/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr. U. Parivendan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar,
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- C-6B.For Bail in Crime No.215 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police



CRL OP(MD). No.16896 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused No.6, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.06.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 103 of BNS @ 103(1), 191(1), 191(3) and 49 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.215 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant/Jesinthamary is the sister of the deceased Jesugunalan. One Mariyadoss, who is the brother of the defacto complainant died before twenty four years. The deceased Jesugunalan and the wife of Mariyadoss were living jointly and both of them worked under A1. The A1 had illegal relationship with the wife of the Mariyadoss and it was questioned by the deceased Jesugunalan. Due to which, on 21.06.2026, the accused assaulted and caused cut injuries to the said Jesugunalan on his head, backside of neck and left hand and he succumbed to the injuries. Hence, the present case has been registered and the accused were arrested.



CRL OP(MD). No.16896 of 2026

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and that a false case has been foisted against him. He would further submit that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 24.06.2026. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that there are totally six accused and the petitioner is arrayed as sixth accused. He would further submit that the specific overt act attributed against the petitioner is that at the time of occurrence, he identified the deceased to other accused, who are the hirers to commit the murder. The petitioner is not having any bad antecedent. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances and also the facts that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 24.06.2026; that the petitioner is not having any bad antecedents and that except for the allegation that the petitioner identified the deceased to the other accused, there is no specific overt act attributed against the petitioner, this Court is



CRL OP(MD). No.16896 of 2026

inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Papanasam, and on further conditions that:

(i)the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate/concerned court may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(ii)the petitioner shall stay at Chengalpattu and report before the Inspector of Police, Chengalpattu Town Police Station (D1), Chengalpattu, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(iii)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness;

(iv)the petitioner shall not abscond during trial;

(v)On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.16896 of 2026

*petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;*

(vi) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS, 2023.

(K M S J)
20.08.2026

VSG

TO

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate, Papanasam.
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Trichy.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Town Police Station (D1),
Chengalpattu.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Papanasam Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.16896 of 2026

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.16896 of 2026

Date : 20/08/2026