



CRL OP(MD). No.16548 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13/08/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR**

CRL OP(MD). No.16548 of 2026

Sathu Sunder Singh,,
S/o. Rethinamani,
No. 4/130, Maluvancherivilai, Mecode,
Chenkody,
Kannniyakumari District
(Crime No. 0244 of 2026).

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvattar Police Station,
Kannniyakumari District
(Crime No. 0244 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Antony Arulraj,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- C-33B For Bail in Crime No.0244 of 2026 on the file of
the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD), No.16548 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.07.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 329(3), 296(b), 121(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, and Section 3 of Tamil Nadu Medicare Service Persons and Medicare Service Institutions (Prevention of Violence and Damage of Loss Property) Act, 2008, in Crime No.0244 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 16.07.2026, at about 09.45 p.m., the petitioner herein along with three others came by an auto to the Kannanoor primary Health Centre for taking treatment for snake bite to Chandran. At that time, one nurse namely, Sunitha and one Sweeper were on duty and they informed the accused persons that treatment for snake bite medicine was not available at the said Primary Health Centre and advised that the patient be taken to Government Hospital, Thuckalay for treatment. Subsequently, 108 ambulance was arrived and during the course of the incident, there was an altercation and the accused persons assaulted the ambulance driver and another and damaged the 108 ambulance. Hence, the complainant was lodged and the petitioner was



CRL OP(MD). No.16548 of 2026

arrested.

WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 18.07.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Counsel for State of Tamil Nadu (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution and submitted that the respondent is having evidence to show that the first accused damaged the ambulance. He would further submit that the investigation in this case is still pending and that the offences are grave in nature. He would further submit that the petitioner is involved in two previous cases registered under Section 323 of BNS, 2023. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the above facts and circumstances, nature of offence levelled against the petitioner and also the facts that the petitioner is in



CRL OP(MD). No.16548 of 2026

judicial custody from 18.07.2026, that the date of occurrence is alleged to have been taken place on 16.07.2026, by this time the most of the investigation might have been completed and that the petitioner is not having any previous case for serious offence, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Padmanadapuram**, and on further conditions that;

(i) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate/concerned court may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(ii) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness;



CRL OP(MD). No.16548 of 2026

(iv)the petitioner shall not abscond during trial;

*(v)On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;*

(vi)If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS, 2023.

(K M S J)
13.08.2026

VSG

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Padmanadapuram.
- 2.The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvattar Police Station,
Kannniyakumari District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.16548 of 2026

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.16548 of 2026

Date : 13/08/2026