



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16585 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06.08.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD). No. 16585 of 2026

Rajaraman

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvudaimarudhur Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No.215 of 2011)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Punniyamoorthy
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 215 of 2011 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 21.06.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 296(b), 325, 323, 324, 307 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.215 of 2011 on the file



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16585 of 2026

of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that in a quarrel held in a Hotel, the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant with an intention to murder him. Therefore, the petitioner was earlier arrested and released on bail. Investigation in this case has been completed and charge sheet was also filed and the same was taken cognizance and pending for committal proceedings in P.R.C.No.24 of 2019 on the file of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvidadimarudhur. The petitioner has appeared before the trial Court regularly. On 06.05.2024, the petitioner was absent to appear before the trial court. Hence, NBW was issued and the same was executed on 21.06.2026.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has appeared before the trial Court regularly. Due to the ill-health only he was not able to appear before the trial Court. Hence, the non bailable warrant was issued against him. Now the petitioner is in custody from 21.06.2026 and ready to co-operate with the trial process. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16585 of 2026

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the State reiterated the prosecution case and reported that the petitioner has no previous case and the case is pending for committal proceedings. Since the petitioner absconded the same is stalled. The petitioner has no previous case. Hence, he opposed to grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner has no previous case, according to the petitioner due to ill health only he was unable to appear before the court, the case is pending in the committal stage and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from 21.06.2026, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvaidaimarudhur, Thanjavur District** and on further conditions that:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16585 of 2026

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvudaimarudhur, Thanjavur District, at 10.30 a.m., on all working days, for a period of four weeks;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 51730].



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16585 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be

registered under Section 269 BNS.

(K R S J)
06.08.2026

TM

To

1.The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvudaimarudhur, Thanjavur District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvudaimarudhur Police Station,
Thanjavur District. (Crime No.215 of 2011)

3.The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Thiruvudaimarudhur.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.16585 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 16585 of 2026

Date : 06.08.2026