



CRL OP(MD). No.16032 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 31/07/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR**

CRL OP(MD). No.16032 of 2026

Muthupandi,  
S/o.Chinnakalai,  
No.4/294, Ramarajapuram, Vadipatti Taluk,  
Kachakatti, Madurai.. ... Petitioner/Accused No.1


Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
Valandur Police Station,  
Madurai District.  
Crime No.41 of 2026. ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Vignesh K,  
Advocate.  
For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,  
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- C-6B. For Bail in Crime No.41 of 2026 on the file of the  
Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.16032 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused No.1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.05.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 109 and 351(3) of BNS @ into Sections 296(b), 115(2), 109, 103(1) and 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.41 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to property dispute, the petitioner, who is ranked as A1, joined hands with other accused attacked the deceased with deadly weapons and caused injuries on the vital parts and caused death. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that he is in custody from 22.05.2026 and ready to abide any condition imposed by this Court. He further submitted that the co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.O.P.(MD).No.11928 of 2026. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the

2/7



CRL OP(MD). No.16032 of 2026

petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that all the co-accused involved in the offence have already been arrested. The investigation in this still pending and the petitioner is not having any previous cases. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5.I have carefully considered the submissions made on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6.This Court earlier dismissed the bail application filed by the petitioner in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.12579 of 2026, vide order dated 29.06.2026, for the following reasons:

*6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence, though co-accused have been released on bail, they are women, A2 in this case is absconding, though the petitioner has not previous case, the petitioner was arrested only recently, investigation is also not yet completed, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.*



CRL OP(MD), No.16032 of 2026

WEB COPY

7.This Court is of the view that the co-accused involved in the offence have now been arrested and some of the co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court. The petitioner has no previous cases. Considering the overt act attributed to the petitioner and the period of incarceration already undergone by the petitioner, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.2, Usilampatti**, and on further conditions that:

[b] **the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;



CRL OP(MD), No.16032 of 2026

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(K R S J)  
31.07.2026

VSG



CRL OP(MD). No.16032 of 2026

TO  
WEB COPY

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.2, Usilampatti.
- 2.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,  
Valandur Police Station,  
Madurai District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.16032 of 2026

**K. RAJASEKAR,J.,**

vsg

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.16032 of 2026

Date : 31/07/2026