



CRL OP(MD). No.15620 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 28.07.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD)No.15620 of 2026

K.Rajesh

... Petitioner / Sole Accused

Versus

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.354 of 2026)

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.354 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, or on his appearance.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Muthukumaran

For Respondent : Mr.J.Vishnu,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.15620 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest in connection with Crime No.354 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 126(2) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the husband of the defacto complainant. Owing to matrimonial disputes, the defacto complainant had been residing separately with her child. It is alleged that, taking advantage of her being alone, when she had gone to purchase food for her child, the petitioner waylaid her and attacked her with a *patta* knife, causing injuries on her head. It is further alleged that the petitioner also attempted to attack their daughter. On hearing her cries, passers-by rescued the defacto complainant and she was admitted to the Government Hospital, Batlagundu. Thereafter, the present complaint came to be lodged, based on which the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that no grievous injuries were caused to the defacto complainant and that the petitioner



CRL OP(MD). No.15620 of 2026

has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to cooperate with the investigation and prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that, although the injured has been discharged from the hospital, the petitioner had caused severe injuries on the head of the defacto complainant with a *patta* knife and had also attempted to attack their daughter. He further submitted that, if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail, there is every likelihood that he may threaten the defacto complainant or indulge in similar offences. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail.

5. This Court has carefully considered the rival submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations and that he attacked the defacto complainant with a *patta* knife causing injuries on her head and attempted to attack their daughter, this Court is not inclined to grant the extraordinary relief of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, this



CRL OP(MD). No.15620 of 2026

Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

WEB COPY

28.07.2026

pal

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.15620 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR,J.

pal

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.15620 of 2026

Date : 28.07.2026