



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15890 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30.07.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD). No. 15890 of 2026

Dinesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Edamalaipattipudhur Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.133 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Gopala Krishnan
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 133 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 29.05.2026, for the offences punishable under Section 326(g) of BNS, in Crime No.133 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15890 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. . The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner herein is the son-in-law of the defacto complainant. Since there is a dispute between the petitioner and his wife, she was stayed with the defacto complainant. In view of the above said motive, the petitioner went to their house and set fire. Hence, the case has been registered and the petitioner was arrested.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is no eye witness to the occurrence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. The earlier bail application filed by this petitioner has been dismissed by this Court and this is second bail application. He is in custody from 29.06.2026 and he is ready co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Counsel for the State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police submitted that the value of the property assessed as Rs.25,000/- and investigation is not yet concluded. Considering the seriousness of the offence the earlier bail application filed by the petitioner was dismissed by this Court. He would further submit that he is incarceration for more than 60 days and ready to deposit any amount for the alleged damages. Hence, he



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.15890 of 2026

strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5.Heard the learned counsel on either sides and perused the materials available on records.

6. This Court while dismissing the application filed by the petitioner, observed as follows:

“Considering the nature of allegation and the fact that the petitioner set fire the home, in which the defacto complainant and her daughter were residing and caused damages to the house, considering the seriousness of the offence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.”

7.Considering the nature of allegation and the fact that now the petitioner is ready to deposit the damages caused to the property and also considering the period of incarceration this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on condition of payment.

[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed on condition that the petitioners shall deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) to the credit of Cr.No.133 of 2026 before the trial Court concerned, without prejudice to his rights and



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15890 of 2026

contentions before the trial Court.

WEB COPY

[b] On such deposit being made, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Trichy**, and on further conditions that:

[c] **he petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**

[d] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[g] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15890 of 2026

against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 51730].

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(K R S J)
30.07.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Trichy.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Edamalaipattipudhur Police Station,
Trichy District. (Crime No.133 of 2026)
- 3.The Superintendent, District Prison, Trichy.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15890 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 15890 of 2026

Date : 30.07.2026