

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 24-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

WP(MD) No. 20986 of 2026

S.Selvi
W/o.S.Subbu,
No.62, Ponnagaram 1st Street,
Madurai.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
Madurai,
Madurai District.
2. The General Manager
Madurai District Milk Produces,
Co-operative Union (Aavin), Madurai,
Madurai District.

..Respondent(s)

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to accord permission to the petitioner so as to enable her to run an Aavin Milk Booth in (Depo.No.587) at Aarapaalayam Bus terminal by considering the representation of the petitioner dated 22.06.2026 and pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of this case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Chandrasekar

For Respondent(s): Ms.P.Sudarkodi Natchiyar
Counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu for R1

Mrs.J.Devasena
Standing Counsel for R2




WEB COPY

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to accord permission to the petitioner so as to enable her to run an Aavin Milk Booth in (Depot No. 587) at Aarapaalayam Bus Terminal, by considering the representation of the petitioner dated 22.06.2026.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a physically challenged woman with 40% permanent orthopaedic disability and was allotted an Aavin milk vending agency at Depot No.587, Arapalayam Bus Stand, Madurai, in the year 2014. It was submitted that the petitioner had been operating the agency satisfactorily and her licence was periodically renewed up to the year 2021. Thereafter, despite repeated requests, the respondents failed to renew her licence. The learned counsel further submitted that apprehending the appointment of a new agent, the petitioner submitted a representation dated 22.06.2026 seeking re-appointment and expressing her willingness to comply with all the prescribed conditions. Since the said representation has not been considered, the petitioner has approached this Court seeking appropriate directions.



3. The learned Standing Counsels appearing for the respondents submitted that the petitioner's representation dated 22.06.2026 would be considered in accordance with the applicable rules and guidelines. It was further submitted that if this Court grants reasonable time, the respondents would examine the petitioner's request and pass appropriate orders on merits in accordance with law.


4. Considering the limited relief sought for by the petitioner, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim, directs the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 22.06.2026 on its own merits and in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders, after affording an opportunity to the petitioner, if necessary, within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

24-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

smn2



To

1. The District Collector
Madurai,
Madurai District.

2. The General Manager
Madurai District Milk Produces,
Co-operative Union (Aavin), Madurai,
Madurai District.



WEB COPY

WP(MD) No. 20986 of 2



M.DHANDAPANI, J.

smn2

WP(MD) No. 20986 of 2026

24-07-2026