



W.P(MD)No.4234 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 29.07.2026

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA
and
THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.POORNIMA**

W.P.CrI.(MD)No.4234 of 2026

M.Eswari

Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy Inspector of General of Prison,
O/o.The Deputy Inspector of General of Prison and Correctional Services
Trichy Range,
Trichy Central Prison Campus
Trichy.

2.The Superintendent of Prison
O/o. Trichy Central Jail
Trichy District.

3.The Superintendent of Police
O/o.The Superintendent of Police
Trichy District.

4.The Inspector of Police
O/o.The Inspector of Police
Edamalaipatti Pudhur Police Station
Trichy.

Respondents



W.P(MD)No.4234 of 2026

WEB COPY

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order passed by Respondent No.2 No.3004/தகு.4/2025 dated 05.05.2026 and consequently direct the respondents 1 and 2 to grant 21 days ordinary leave without escort to the petitioner's husband namely, Murugan (PID No.262599), who is languishing in Central Prison, Trichy.

For Petitioner : Mr.Veera Raghul

For Respondents : Mr.D.Venkatesh,

Counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu (Crl. Side)

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.**]

This writ petition has been filed seeking a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order passed by Respondent No.2 No.3004/தகு.4/2025 dated 05.05.2026 and consequently direct the respondents 1 and 2 to grant 21 days ordinary



W.P(MD)No.4234 of 2026

WEB COPY

leave without escort to the petitioner's husband namely, Murugan (PID No. 262599), who is languishing in Central Prison, Trichy.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is a convict prisoner had sought for 21 days ordinary leave whereas the respondents have rejected the same, thereby the present writ petition has been failed.

3. Mr.D.Venkatesh, learned counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu appearing for the respondents would submit that the convict prisoner is facing three (3) pending cases against him and thereby, he is not eligible for ordinary leave as per Rule 35 of the Tamil Nadu Suspension of Sentence Rules. Therefore, the 2nd respondent has rejected the request of the petitioner vide impugned order, which does not warrant interference by this Court.

4. Taking into consideration of the fact that 3 cases pending against the convict prisoner and Rule 35 of the Tamil Nadu Suspension of Sentence Rules, prohibits grant of ordinary leave to the convict prisoner, we do not find any infirmity in the impugned order.



W.P(MD)No.4234 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

[A.D.J.C., J.] [R.P., J.]
29.07.2026

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
rm



W.P(MD)No.4234 of 2026

To:
WEB COPY

- 1.The Deputy Inspector of General of Prison,
O/o.The Deputy Inspector of General of Prison and Correctional Services
Trichy Range,
Trichy Central Prison Campus
Trichy.
- 2.The Superintendent of Prison
O/o. Trichy Central Jail
Trichy District.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police
O/o.The Superintendent of Police
Trichy District.
- 4.The Inspector of Police
O/o.The Inspector of Police
Edamalaipatti Pudhur Police Station
Trichy.
- 5.The Counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.4234 of 2026

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

AND

R.POORNIMA, J.

rm

ORDER MADE IN
W.P.Crl.(MD)No.4234 of 2026

DATED : 29.07.2026