



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14235 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 14.07.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD). No. 14235 of 2026

1.Lakshmi
2.Varadharajan

...Petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thanjavur Taluk Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No.138 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.R.Manimaran
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 138 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners / Accused, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.06.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 194(3) r/w 80, 85 of BNS, in Crime No.138 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14235 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are parents in law of the deceased in this case and the marriage between the petitioners' son and the deceased was taken place in the month of April 2025 and subsequently, this petitioner joining hands with A1 continuously demanded various articles, money and vehicles. Further they have also abused the deceased by showing her disability. Hence, unable to bear the same, the deceased committed suicide by hanging herself. Hence, the case was registered originally as suspicious death and subsequently, the investigation reveals that the above said facts and the case was altered to the offence 80 and 85 of BNS. Thereafter, the petitioners were arrested.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioners are senior citizens. They are in custody from 09.06.2026 and ready to abide any condition imposed by this Court. Though RDO enquiry is not concluded, the present Investigating Officer concluded the investigation, altered the sections and arrested the petitioners, which has been with a view to harass the petitioner. Without awaiting the enquiry report of the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14235 of 2026

RDO, arresting the petitioners is unwarranted. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the State reiterated the prosecution case and reported that the RDO enquiry is concluded and they are awaiting Report from RDO. Based on the investigation, the FIR has been altered. The petitioner has no previous case. Since the A2 is absconding, he prays to dismiss this application.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on records.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offences, age of the petitioners that the petitioners have no previous cases, and considering the period of incarceration, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioners subject to certain conditions.

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Thanjavur**



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14235 of 2026

and on further conditions that:

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioners shall report before the concerned jurisdictional Magistrate at 10.30 a.m., on all working days, for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 51730].



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14235 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be

WEB COPY registered under Section 269 BNS.

(K R S J)
14.07.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Thanjavur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thanjavur Taluk Police Station,
Thanjavur District. (Crime No.138 of 2026)
- 3.The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Thanjavur.
- 4.The Superintendent, Women Prison, Trichy.
- 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14235 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 14235 of 2026

Date : 14.07.2026